	A	В	С	D	Е	F	G	Н	I	J	K L	М	N
										For/Again			
				Date						st			
				Receive	Comme		Pg.	Add'tional	Categorey of	Proposed			
	1	Name	Affliation	d	nt Code	Summary Main Comments	#	Comments	Comment	Decision	Against For	Unclear	
									General-need to				
						· Very concerned about Oregon's environment and waterways but proposed			improve water				
	2				1-A	decision doesn't make sense.	1		quality				
								-	General-made				
						· Oregon has met almost all of requirements and water quality/habitats have been			improvements in				
	3				1-B	improving for past 15 yrs.	1		water quality				
		(b) (6)	_			Proposed decision would harm effective programs that support strong water			Penalities-negative				
	4	(3)	citizen	12/19/13	1-C	quality/watershed programs in state and jeopardizes \$4M in federal funding.	1		impacts	Against	1		
F									1	<i>G</i>			
									Monitoring-				
						· OR legislature is obstructing salmon recovery progress and prevents state			improvements				
						agencies from monitoring WQ necessary to support CZARA NPS water quality			needed; Salmon-				
	5				2-A	achievement goals.	1	Included two	need more protection				
	-					Need to include toxic contamination impairment assessment for NPScan't be		attachments	Procession				
	6					done under current political climate.	1	related to	Toxics/Pesticides				
F						Disapproval will hopefully help improve situation in OR and break up political		toxic/metal	Decision-benefit;				
	7		citizen	12/20/13	2-В	log-jam so toxics can be addressed appropriately.	1	comtamination	Toxics/Pesticides	Unclear		1	
-	,		CITIZON	12/20/13	2 5	· Concerned about 2007 overspray on his property and wants us to consider toxic		Includes link to	TOMICS/T CONCINC	Cheleur		1	
	g				3-A	effects.	1	similar story on	Forestry-pesticides				
_	0				371	· Notes wildlife and fish just starting to come back. Recent testing of old domestic		overspray in Curry	Torestry pesticides				
	٥		citizen	12/20/13	3-B	water supply still shows residual effects.		County.	Forestry-pesticides	Unclear		1	
-	9		CITIZCII	12/20/13	3-Б	· Very pleased when heard about proposed decision and pressure we're applying to		County.	1 orestry-pesticides	Officical		1	
					4-A	Oregon to uphold its responsibilities.	1		Decision-benefit				
-	.0				4-A 4-B	Glad fed regulators are recognizing harm logging is doing to water quality	1		Forestry-general				
-	11				4-D	Glad red regulators are recognizing flarin logging is doing to water quanty	1		Torestry-general				
						Oragan needs to prioritize along water (even for smallest streams) and guard			Forestry-riparian;				
			oitizan	12/20/12	4-C	· Oregon needs to prioritize clean water (even for smallest streams) and guard against human-made landslides.	1		* *	For		1	
F	.2		citizen	12/20/13	4-C	"Every dollar taken out of this program will decrease this program by that	1		landslides; pesticides Penalities-negative	LOL		1	
					5 1	amount."	1	Comments	ŭ				
F	1.3				5-A	amount.	1	Comments are	impacts General-made				
						"Most goostal strooms are supplied in their natural state and need no society		verbatum. No					
			citizen	12/21/13	5 D	· "Most coastal streams are running in their natural state and need no assistance.	1	further comments	improvements to	Against	1		
-	.4		citizen	12/21/13		No farming and no more logging." • I concur with the State of Oregon (can provide details if asked).	1	provided.	water quality General	Against	1		
F	.5		citizen	12/21/13	0-A		1	A dditi = 1 + CC	General	Against	1		
					7 ^	· Has witnessed significant changes (improvements) in forest practices since	1	Additional off-	Equating sames 1				
_	.6				7-A	1960s.		topic comments	Forestry-general				
F	.7				7-B	Proposal to remove abandonned forest rds is foolishmany are stable. Watershad must an grand scheme is better approach due to limited funding to	1	related to	Forestry-roads				
			0.141-	10/00/10	7.0	· Watershed mngt on grand scheme is better approach due to limited funding to	1	Spotted/Barred	Eanaster as1	T I1 · · ·		1	
F	.8		citizen	12/22/13		address problem and establish priorities.	1	owl.	Forestry-general	Unclear		1	
			citizen	12/22/13	8-A	December 4hours are marked as all the control of th			General-need to				
		_				• Recognizes there are water quality issues from ag, logging and other sources			improve water				
F	.9	4			0.5	(kayaked amidst cow patties in OR central coast) but state is making progress.	1		quality				
					8-B	Reducing funding for programs that will help OR tackle wq issues is not the			Penalities-negative				
Ŀ	20					answer.	1		impacts				

	Δ	R	C		F	F C	Э Н	T T	1	ĸ	1	М	N
		В			8-C	· Agrees with Oregonian editorial that applying one-size-fits all approach doesn't	11	1	J	K	L .	141	IN
					0.0	work. A tailored approach is neededone that is underway but just needs more \$		General-one-size-fits					
21						to support.	1	all	Against	1			
22					9-A	· Supports proposed decision.	1	Decision	riganist	1			
					<i>)-</i> A	For too long, has been concerned about landslides, siltation, and clearcuts from	1	Forestry-landslides,					
27	71-				9-B	forestry and	1	riparian, clear cuts					
23	d)	p) (6)	citizen	12/25/13	9-B 9-C	Glad fed. Govn't is taking action to w/hold funding.	1	Penalities	For		1		
24	_		Citizen	12/23/13	9-0	Oracled. Goving is taking action to winoid funding.	1	Penalities; General-	1.01		1		
						· Oregan should be penalized. Citizens in Oregon do not have healthy, sustainable		· ·					
2.5					11-A	old-growth forests, and non-polluted streams.	1	need to improve					
25	+				11-A	There is no stormwater mngt for new development and aging/leaking septic	1	water quality					
20					11-B	systems aren't being fixed.	1	New devel; OSDS					
26			citizen	12/30/13	11-B 11-C	Need to stop runoff from past logging roads.	1	Forestry-roads	for		1		
27	+					· Need to stop fulloff from past logging roads.	(b) (6)	<u> </u>	for		1		
			citizen	1/5/14	10-A	NOAA/FRA '-1-11'		General-holding to					
						NOAA/EPA is holding state to higher standard based on what we've approved		higher standard					
						for other states (e.g. CA). Either need to approve OR or go back and disapprove	1						
28	_				10 D	other states. Keeping on raising threshold for OR is unfair and costly for state.	1	C 1 11					
					10-B	Holding states responsible for all CZARA requirements isn't rightsome, like	1	General-problems					
29					10.0	OSDS, are outside state's jurisdiction.	1	with CZARA					
					10-C			General-water					
						Too much focus on water quality improvements. Given population/development	4	quality					
30	4				40.5	increase, even maintaining water quality levels at 1990 levels is a success.	1						
					10-D	• The CWA has demonstrated that its needed revisions over the years as evidenced		General-problems					
						by prior amendents and recommends now is another time to address problems	4	with CZARA					
31					10.7	with CZARA.	1	G 1 1 2					
					10-E	· CWA recognizes there isn't a one-size-fits-all response to addressing NPS. As		General-one-size-fits					
						such, absurb to place arbitary and capricious temporal and jurisdictional		all					
32	4					standards on a state.	1		Against	1			
						Anti-clear cutting (doesn't believe it can be done sustainably); pro sustainable	Additional off-	Forestry-clear					
33						forestry.	1 topic comments	cutting	Unclear				
34			citizen	1/7/14	12-B	· Supports regular maintenace of septic systems.	1 related to general	OSDS	ı.			1	
35			citizen	1/16/14	13-A	· Agrees with proposed decision to disapprove OR's program.	1	Decision					
					13-B			New Devel; OSDS;					
						• Supportive of 3 key areas where Oregon hasn't met program requirements		Forestry-riparian;					
						(forestryall elements, OSDS, and new devel) and asks us to continue to work		landslides;					
36						with OR to address those issues.	1	pesticides; roads	_				
37					13-C	Notes NPS impacts from Ag must also be addressed.	1	Ag-General	For		1		
38					14-A	· Disagrees with proposed decision	1	Decision					
						• Through experience on watershed assoc and previous position in USFS, believes		General-made					
						state and OWEB, SWCDs, watershed groups are doing (and have done) a lot to		improvements in					
39					14-B	improve wq	1	water quality					
						· Loosing \$4M in federal funding that supports watershed work will be like		Penalities-negative					
40					14-C	"throwing the baby out with the bath water."	1	impacts					
						· ODF is working to strengthen forest rules for riparian protection but face	Sumbitted						
						political challenges that require thoughtful science to bring along. Maintaining	Biannual Report	Forestry-riparian;					
						support of forest industry is important for water quality protection and will take	for Coquille	General-need more					
41			citizen	1/31/14	14-D	longer than Spring 2014.	2 Watershed Assoc.	time	Against	1			
42			•		15-A	· Agrees with proposed decision to disapprove OR's program.	1	Decision					

Г	А	В	С	D	E	F	G	Н	I	J	K	L	М	N
	,,			J		Not clear why public comment is required on the NOAA-F and EPA's (Agencies)			-		, ,		141	
						analysis as long as their justification or statement of intent to approve or								
						disapprove the program (Proposal) is based solely on pre-established criteria and								
						valid scientific grounds. Overall, I find this to be the case, and further that the			General-public					
						technical analysis in the Proposal is generally robust with respect to the issues it			comment; General-					
1					15-B	examines	1		support rationales					
F	,	_			13-1	There are no meaningful regulatory assurances in OR's CNP to protect water	1		General-fails to meet					
1	1				15-C	quality and designated uses.	1		wqs/uses					
4	+	_			13-0	Voluntary measures/promises won't work; clearly enforceable measures,	1		wqs/uscs					
						regulatory linkages and management controls are needed. CZARA specifically								
						requires coastal states to have enforceable controls on nonpoint sources of			General-voluntary					
١,	_				15 D		1		-					
4)				15-D	pollution in order to continue to receive federal grant funding.	1		approaches					
									General-salmon;					
	_				15 5	· Salmon habitat and continued federal species listings show that the salmon			General-fails to meet					
4	5	-			15-E	resource(s) in Oregon have been and continue to be declining	2		wqs/uses					
						NOAA/EPA need to include in future rationales and consider when evaluting								
						future state submissions: interconnected habitat and water quality factors and								
						legacy issues, beaver management, watershed and riparian factors influencing								
						water quality, novel human chemical contaminants, over-allocation of water,								
						urban runoff from older as well as newer developments, and little consideration								
						given to the importance of maintaining groundwater flow connection(s), and			General-need to					
4	7				15-F	climate changes	2		consider other issues					
						Overall NOAA/EPA analyses are correct. There are several major areas of the								
						coastal NPSPC program are in need of significant improvement and/or additional								
						management measures. Some of the areas identified are: measures for forestry,								
						new urban development, and septic/sewer systems (note: the Agencies should								
						broaden the latter to include measures to improve nonpoint source treatment and								
						control of stormwater, urban surface, and road related runoff; similarly the			OSDS; New Devel;					
						Agencies should include both new and older urban development and			Forestry; General-					
						infrastructure)			need to consider					
4	3				15-G		2		other uses					
						· ODA's poor past and ongoing efforts at regulating agricultural and livestock								
						practices that harm salmon and other biota are not acknowledged in analyses.								
						Missing (suggested additional) measures to adequately protect water quality								
						include: 1) minimum required riparian buffers on commercial agricultural lands								
						(Note: the published literature suggests a buffer width of no less 100 feet, or 30								
						meters. Buffers wider than 100' might be necessary on low gradient channels that								
						might meander, and adjacent to designated critical habitats for listed species, for								
						example core salmonid spawning and rearing areas); 2) fencing streams and								
						riparian areas to reduce or eliminate trailing, trampling and fecal contamination by								
						livestock; 3) improved permitting, monitoring and relocation of CAFOs, and 4)								
						regulatory provisions (with or without incentives) to promote reestablishment of								
						riparian vegetation in critical habitats and to promote beaver reintroduction in								
4	9				15-H	suitable locations.	5		Ag-add MMs					
F		-			15 11	Need to consider novel chemicals (Rx drugs, BC pills, pain medications and			General-need to					
5)				15-I	caffeine) impacting wq.	3		consider other uses					
	,	1			1,3-1	Need to consider over allocation of water/withdraws and impacts less water has			General-need to					
F	1				15-J	on increasing pollutant loads, etc.	6		consider other uses					
5	L				1.J-J	on mercasing ponutant toaus, etc.	U		constact other uses					

	Α	В	C	D	F	F G	Т	Ī	ı	К	1	М	N
	,,				_	Need to consider the role of beaver and beaver dams in moderating flows and		<u> </u>			_		
						improving water quality in the broadest sense(s) should be included and							
						examined. Measures							
						should be included to cease or scale back beaver eradication efforts, and also to		General-need to					
52					15-K	facilitate and promote beaver re-establishment in suitable locations	1	consider other uses					
					10 11	FPA rules are outdated and need to be revised. In 1996 NMFS has stated key							
						problems with rules and improvements. Most of these issues were affirmed by							
53					15-L	independent scientific panel.	5	Forestry-general					
						Need to consider climate change. Climate stressed organisms can be more		General-need to					
54		(b) (6)	citizen	2/20/14	15-M	sensitive to pollution.	5	consider other uses	For		1		
								General-voluntary					
55					16-A	· Watershed council does good work.		approaches					
						· Agree that there should be some sort of penality to motivate the state to comply		Tr					
						with CZARA, but penalities, as structured, would hurt watershed councils and							
			Lower			others on-the ground that are doing the good work and need federal/state funding		Penalities-negative					
56			Nehalem		16-B	to continue.	l	impacts	Against				
		(b) (6)	Watershed			Need to taylor puntative impacts to only effect those that should be (not others)		1	(penalities				
57			Council	2/26/14	16-D	such as watershed councils)	2	Penalities)			1	
		_				· Strongly support disapproval to wake up OR govn't to reality of not protecting		Decision; Penalities-	/				
58					17-A	the environment.		benefits					
						Septic tanks at OR state parks and other locations are discharging sewage to							
59						waterways.		OSDS					
						· Towns of Myrtle Pt and Powers release sewage to Coquille when rains and can't							
60					17-B	harvest shellfish.		OSDS					
						Concerned about superfund contamination impacting shellfish harvest and that							
61				3/4/14	17-C	DEQ is not enforcing needed actions.	2	Toxics/Superfund					
				(w/				General-need to					
62			Clamdigger	follow	17-D	Should have invertebrate species plan in place.	2	consider other uses					
			s Assoc. of	up on		Funding Oregon CZMA should be contingent on having Invertebrate Species Plan		General-need to					
63			Oregon	3/6)	21-A	in place for fresh and saltwaters		consider other uses	For		1		
				,		· Funding Oregon CZMA should be contingent on having Invertebrate Species							
						Plan in place that includes improved harvest regulations for shellfish, sewage spill							
						hotline, shellfish monitoring, and ensures contaminants are not raised above		General-need to					
64					18-A	normal baseline levels.	2	consider other uses					
						· Concerned about sewage discharges and well as poor forestry pratices (discharge							
						of bark dust/debris into bay) that have caused clam die-offs and made them		OSDS; Forestry-					
65					18-B	unharvestable. Sites specific examples.	Includes many	General					
						Also concerned that state/EPA do not properly warn people not to eat shellfish	examples of blogs						
66					18-C	due to baterica/toxics.	posts and letters	OSDS; Toxics					
			Clamdigger			thro	_						
			s Assoc. of			· Organization has tried to speak with ODFW and ODFW Commission leadership ugh							
67			Oregon	3/5/14	18-D	but claims offers to meet/hear their recommendations were not acted on.	agencies.	Forestry-General	For		1		
68					19-A	· Oyster farmer in Tillamook Bay	l						
						· Supports disapproval because OR doesn't have MMs or additional MMs in place							
69					19-B	to achieve/maintain WQS.	l	Decision					
						· Cites specific examples of Tillamook Bay beging close to shellfish harvest for							
70					19-C	100 days/yr due to ag runoff.		Ag-General					
, 0					170	100 day or yr dae to ag runoir.	`1	115 Contour					

	Α	В	С	D	E	F G	Н	I I	J	К	L	М	N
		_				There has never been meaningful oversight of Tillamook Dairy Mngt Industry.		-	-		_		
71					19-D	Voluntary measures aren't working.		Ag-General					
								General-water					
72		(b) (6)	citizen	2/28/14	19-E	Despite many investments in studies from NEP, still a wq problem.		quality	For		1		
		-				· OR streams are among the cleanest in nation and provide suitable water for		General-water					
73					20-A	aquaculture.		quality					
						Additional riparian setbacks would only hurt logging industry and drive up price		•					
74					20-B	of lumber.		Forestry-riparian					
75		-			20-C	· Coos County has more forestry than any part of Oregon and more salmon.		Forestry-general					
		-						Forestry-General;					
								General-made					
								improvements to					
76			citizen	1/8/14	20-D	Watershed councils are doing good work and we don't need additional regulation.		water quality	Against	1			
77					22-A	· Support disapprovalmay be only effective way to get action in state.		Decision-benefit					
		-				· Oregon doesn't have practices in place to protect streams from polluted runoff.		General-fails to meet	-				
78					22-B	Although state still claimins programs are effective		wqs/uses					
		_						1	-				
						• Federal/state govn't have responsibility to manage waters in the public trust for		General-fails to meet					
79					22-C	max. long-term benefit for current/future generations. This is not being done.		wqs/uses					
		-											
								General-water					
						· TMDLs show that existing programs are not working (high water temps,		quality; General-fails					
80					22-D	sediment loads and nutrients).		to meet wqs/uses					
- 00		-			22 0			to meet was uses					
						· Many states have stronger NPS controls for forest practices. OR is frequently							
81			citizen	3/8/14	22-E	judged as the weakest along the west coast. Its time for them to change.		Forestry-General	For		1		
01		-	CITIZON	3/0/14		• Supports proposed decision (on all counts)4 forestry concerns, osds and new		Torestry General	101		1		
82					23-A	devel.		Decision			1		
83		-	citizen	3/14/14	23-B	· Also necessary for state to include ag MM necessary for achieving WQS. 2		Ag-add MMs	For		1		
-		-	CITIZON	3/14/14	24-A	• Supports disapproval decision.		Decision	1 01		1		
84		-			2-7-71	· Commentor is fisherman that as witnessed OR's inability to protect fish-bearing		Forestry-general;	-		1		
85					24-B	streams from forestry runoff (logging and rd building).		Forestry-roads					
03	+				27 10	BOF/ODF have had proposals to improve stream protection come before than		1010511 y 101103					
86					24-C	but to date, have failed to take action.		Forestry-riparian					
87	_		citizen	3/14/14	24-C	• DEQ has also failed to take action to respond to forestry issues too.		Forestry-General	For				
67	+		CITIZCII	3/17/17	277	222 has also failed to take action to respond to forestly issues too.		Decision; General-	1 01				
			Ī					fails to meet					
						· Agrees OR has not met conditions and needs to do more to protect coastal wq		wqs/uses; Penalities-					
88					25-A	but imposing penalities on czm and 319 is wrong.		negative impacts				1	
00	+	-			23-A	The imposing ponuncies on earn und 317 is wrong.	-	nogative impacts				1	
						· CZM doesn't have authority over remaining conditions yet they stand to loose							
						1/3 of their federal funding. CZM program does a lot of good to support local		Penalities-negative					
89					25-B	communities. Local assist and other important parts of program would be haulted.		impacts					
09	+	-			25-10	1	-	General-need to					
						· State legislature is one that needed to take action but has not; rather they have		improve water					
00					25-C	obstructed ODEQ's ability to make the changes the agency wanted to.		quality					
90		-			25-0	1	-	Penalities-negative					
01					25-D	· CZM has done excellent work for past 40 yrs and shouldn't be undercut now.		_					
91					23-D	- CLIVI has done excenent work for past 40 yrs and shouldn't be undercut now.	-	impacts					

Δ	R	C	D	F	F F	G	Н І	ı	K	1	М	N
	5	C			Encourage NOAA/EPA to continue to work with OR to improve CNP but should		Penalities-negative	,	IX.		141	14
92				25-E	not impose penalities.	1	impacts					
32					Penalties will be counterproductive because it will cripple the work of local			For (but				
	(b) (6)				governments and the OCMP without achieving the measures that NOAA and EPA		(b) (6) Penalities-negative	no				
93		citizen	3/14/14	25-F	seek.	1	impacts	penalities)				
93		CITIZCII	3/14/14	23-1	Sect.	1	General-need to	penanties)				
							improve water					
94				26-A	· Fisherman and no doubt that polluted runoff is an issue.	1	quality			1		
95		citizen	3/14/14	26-B	· Supports Tom Davis' opions and supports disapproval decision.	1	Decision	For		1		
96		CITIZCII	3/14/14	27-A	No one has authority for small lot foresters.	1	Forestry-General	101			1	
96				21-A	There is no program that monitors private forestland clear-cuts, or spray and burn	1	Forestry-clear cuts;				1	
97				27-B	operations	1	Forestry-pesticides					
97				27-D	operations	1	1 orestry-pesticities					
					Need preventive measures to assure that forestry operations near Clear Lake							
		-:4:	2/10/14	27 C	won't make water undrinkable (get drinking water from lake and has observed	1	Forestry-pesticides	NI:-:-				
98		citizen	3/18/14	27-C 28-A	small-lot foresters airial and hand spraying pesticides/herbicides near lake.	1 1	Decision	No opinion				
99				28-A	· Supports disapproval	1						
					Variable of the control of the contr		Forestry-riparian;					
				20 D	· Very narrow or non-existent buffers along streams that flow into Siletz. Clear cut	1	Forestry-clear cuts;					
100				28-B	to banks and airial spraying over cuts.	1	Forestry-pesticides					
					· Concerned about contamination of drinking water (Newport gets water from							
					Siletz), fish and soil contamination from spraying. Criminal that state does not							
				2 0. G	provide better protectionsespecially as rate of clear cutting/forestry activities	1	Forestry-General;					
101					increase due to increase in China exports.	1	Forestry-clear cuts	-				
102				28-D	No pesticide mngt measures are in use in ag. lands.	1	Ag-pesticides	-				
				20. 5	Oregon relies largely on voluntary actions for its CNP and is not using back-up	1	General-voluntary					
103				28-E	authority.	1	approaches					
							General-need to					
					Even when NOAA/EPA granted OR additional time to address conditions, OR	1	improve water					
104				28-F	waters are no better than they were before.	1	quality					
							General-need to					
			2/10/11	• • •	OR hasn't done anything to address polluted runoff in coastal watersheds and		improve water	_				
105	-	citizen	3/18/14	28-G	shouldn't be given approval until it does.	2	quality	For		1		
					EPA/NOAA have exceeded the limits defined in the US Constitution. There are							
					too many regulations and restrictions on the states, private property, and							
			0/10/11	20 :	individuals. Congress should remove the budgets for EPA/NOAA and have							
106		citizen	3/19/14	29-A	proceeds go back to state of orgin.	1	General	Against	1			
107				30-A	· Supports diapproval	1	Decision					
					Oregon does not have a program in place to control nonpoint source pollution in							
					our coastal watersheds that carries out CZARA management measures, nor does							
					Oregon have the additional management measures the law requires to achieve and							
					maintain Oregon's water quality standards and measures the law requires to		Decision; General-					
					achieve and maintain Oregon's water quality standards and protect Oregon's		fails to meet					
108				30-B	drinking water.	1	wqs/uses					
					· Disheartened that Oregon has failed to bring logging practices into compliance							
					with federally approved water quality standardsputs contaminants in our							
109				30-C	drinking water, directly affecting our personal and community health	2	Forestry-General					

A	B	C	D	F	F	G	н і	ı	К	1	М	N
110				30-D	Agrees with NOAA/EPA that OR need to develop add MM for forestry.	2	Forestry-General		K	-	141	14
					Oregon must increase protection of riparian areas for small and medium fish and		Forestry-riparian;					
111				30-E	non-fish streamsand high-risk landslide areas.	2.	Forestry-landslides					
111				30 L	OR must address impacts of forest roads better, including specifically so-called		Torostry landshaes					
112				30-F	"legacy" roads	3	Forestry-roads					
112				30-1	OR must increase buffers for the application of pesticides to both fish and non-fish		1 oresity rouds					
					bearing streams and take other actions to prevent pesticides from entering water							
112				30-G	that affects people, fish, and wildlife.	3	Forestry-pesticides					
113				30-0	• DEQ failed to adhere to its commitments that were foundation of 2010	3	1 orestry-pesticides					
					settlement agreement and 16 yrs after conditional approval, has failed to make							
114				20 H	*	2	Ganaral					
114				30-H	changes that are required.	3	General					
					ODEW INDIES							
					ODFW and NMFS agree many freshwater environmental impacts on Oregon		Salmon-need more					
					coast coho are human related, including "rearing and spawning habitat loss. (see:		protection; Forestry-					
					http://www.dfw.state.or.us/fish/species/coho.asp). Even ODF has found its logging	5	General; General-					
					practices violate water quality standards (see:		fails to meet					
115				30-I	http://www.science.oregonstate.edu/~madsenl/files/GroomDentMadsen2011.pdf)	3	wqs/uses					
					· Watersheds experience landslides from failed logging roads. Sites 4 landslides in							
116				30-J	Arch Cape (drinking water watershed) in 2013.	3	Forestry-landslides					
					· 20 ft buffers ODF mandates on drinking water streams are too narrow to							
117				30-K	w/stand blowdowns and provide much protection from airial spraying.	4	Forestry-riparian					
					· Complete lack of buffers on non-fish streams make sedimentation a constant							
118				30-L	impairment/risk.	4	Forestry-riparian					
					• The drinking water for our communities routinely have high levels of known							
					carcinogens, trihalomethanes and haloacetic acids. These high levels are caused		Forestry-General;					
					when excess sediment that enters public waters from logging roads and inadequate		Forestry-riparian;					
119				30-M	riparian buffers reacts with disinfectants required to treat the water.	4	Forestry-roads					
					·To meet federal drinking standards, both Arch Cape Water District and the City							
					of Rockaway Beach had to install extra filter membranes at signficant cost. Now							
120				30-N	entire community faces higher water bills.	4	Forestry-General					
					, ,							
					· CZARA requires OR to demonstrate that it has additional MMs to meet water							
					quality standards and protect designated uses (salmon, amphibians, drinking		General-fails to meet					
					water). Oregon has failed to do this. OR relies heavily on voluntary measures		wqs/uses; General-					
121				30-O	which are worthless since tehy are not being adhered to or enforced.	4	voluntary approaches					
121				30 0	Does not agree with EPA/NOAA that Oregon "may" have adequate stream	•	voiditary approaches					
					buffers for pesticide use on streams with salmon but is encouraged that							
					NOAA/EPA find that the state doesn't have good buffers on non-fish breaing							
122				20 D		4	Forestry posticides					
122				30-P	streams. Most drinking water flows through non-fishbearing streams.	4	Forestry-pesticides					
122				20.0	Oragon's posticide discharge marrit allows arraying found		Forestine and it is					
123				30-Q	· Oregon's pesticide discharge permit allows spraying forest canopy over water.	4	Forestry-pesticides					
							Forestry-pesticides;					
					State's failure to monitor water quality after sparying ensures that need for better		Monitoring-					
				20 -	buffers and laws won't occur. DEQ monitoring in Jetty Creek after spray was		improvements					
124				30-R	positive for glyphosate showing legal buffers aren't working.	4	needed					
					• Thinks NOAA/EPA are wrong for lauding Oregon's Pesticide Stewardship							
125				30-S	Partnership Program even when there are not pilots in coastal area.	4	Forestry-pesticides					

А	В	С	D	F	F	G	H I	J	K		М	N
7.					· Doesn't see how NOAA/EPA can find that OR provides sufficient protection to							
					fish-bearing streams when EPA has still failed to change pesticide lables as							
126				30-T	required by NMFS.	5	Forestry-pesticides					
	-				States excuse about inadequate studies and need to postpone actions to allow for		- control production	=				
	N. Coast Basin				additional research is unacceptable. Research already exists that shows problems.							
127		organization	3/19/1/	30-U	(Cites DEQ 2011 WQ Status and Action Plan for Northcoast Basin)	5	Forestry-General	For		1		
127	Coantion	organization	3/17/14	30-0	· Supports disapproval. OR does not have a valid plan to control nonpoint source		Torestry General	1 01		1		
120				31-A	pollution in its coastal watersheds.	1	Decision			1		
128	_			31-A	polition in its coastal watersheds.	1	Decision			1		
							General-fails to meet					
							wqs/uses; Salmon-					
120				21 D	State is failing to protect its already imperiled runs of native selmon/steelhead	1	*					
129	_			31-B 31-C	State is failing to protect its already imperiled runs of native salmon/steelhead.	1	need more protection					
130	_			31-C	State hasn't been able to reign in forestry and lags far behind other states.	1	Forestry-General	_				
	(b) (6)	_			Timber companies are unaccountable for overuse of pesticides, landslides caused		Forestry-pesticides;					
				21.5	by poorly maintained logging roads, and increased sediment load in our rivers	1	Forestry-landslides;	_				
131		citizen	#######	31-D	which inhibit salmon spawning ability.	1	Forestry-roads	For				
					· Supports disapproval. Echoes Beyond Toxic's letter:							
					http://www.beyondtoxics.org/wp-							
132		citizen	3/19/14	32-A	content/uploads/2014/03/CZARA_BeyondToxicsFindings2014March18.pdf	1	Toxics/Pesticides	for		1		
					· Against disapproval. Will negatively impact small communities that rely on							
133	Columbia River Es	<u> </u>		33-A	NOAA/EPA funding for water quality improvements.	1	Decision	Againts	1			
					· Receives \$ from CZM program to support coastal planner position that is							
					involved in many water quality/habitat restoration efforts at local level and plays		Penalities-negative					
134				33-B	key role in implementing czm program at local level.	1	impacts					
					NOAA and EPA need to give state more time to develop CNPits very		General-need more					
135		organization	3/19/14	33-C	challenging process and takes time.	2	time					
136				34-A	· Supports disapproval decision.	1	Decision			1		
					· While forestry is important contributor to NPS, in particular, concerned that		Forestry-General;					
137				34-B	OR's programs for new devel and OSDS are not sufficient to meet wqs.	1	New Devel; OSDS					
					· Agrees that state needs a commitment to enforce volutnary measures.							
					NOAA/EPA should require state provide a clear path forward for implementing							
					the new management measures consistent with the 6217(g) guidance, whether by							
					incorporating it into existing the NPDES general permit or crafting a new permit,							
					and require regulatory action if voluntary measures do not result in meaningful							
					and good faith efforts to achieve compliance. Particularly important given the							
					questionable effectiveness of the existing 1200C NPDES general permit for							
138				34-C	construction activities.	2	New Devel					
	1				If the state chooses a TMDL implementation approach to address new deve, we	† <u> </u>	1,0,, 20,01	1				
					agree that the guidance must require DMAs include control measures applicable							
					to small MS4s under the Phase II program, and that Oregon must adopt a							
					regulatory back-up approach in order to ensure that the guidance is implemented							
					correctly by the DMAsif not, then state can't say it will be able to meet was and							
120				34-D	protect designated uses.	2	New Devel					
139	-			34-レ			New Devel	-				
					· OSDS systems must be sited in locations where they are properly separated from							
					groundwater. Restricting system density lowers the nitrate input to ground water.							
					Proper sizing of the system is important to minimize concentrations of							
				24.5	contaminants and prevent hydraulic overloading. Proper maintenance and regular		Caba					
140				34-E	inspection also needed.	3	OSDS					

А	В	С	D	E	F	G	Н	I	J	K	L	М	N
	Oregon Shores Conservation				· Supports the state's planned outreach efforts to educate property owners and promote voluntary inspections. Also agrees with NOAA/EPA that a lack of inspection or other enforcement mechanism undermines the effectiveness of								
141	Coalition	organization	3/19/14	34-5	Oregon's voluntary management measures.	3		OSDS	For				
								Decision; Salmon-					
								need more					
					Supports disapproval. Local salmon runs have been devestated by			protection; Forestry-					
142				35-A	forestry/development.	1	-	General; New deve	for		1		
					Description of the second section of the section of the second section of the section of the second section of			General-salmon; General-fails to meet					
143				35-B	Recent pollution wiped out all coho eggs in local hatchery and kills frogs/salmon in local stream. Paper said state was not investagating pollution source.	1							
143				33- D	in local stream. Faper said state was not investagating ponution source.	1		wqs/uses General-need to					
					·Oregon's efforts to address nonpoint pollution of our waters has been			improve water					
144				35-C	monumental failure (Hecta Water Dist. Near Clear Lake)	2		quality					
					·Clear Lake is directly threatened by pesticide and herbicide applications inside			4					
					the watershed, as well as land disturbance on steep slopes near the lake from		Attached 1992	Forestry-pesticides;					
145				35-D	logging operations.	2	letter from Dr.	Forestry-General					
					·DEQ, Lane County, and the City of Florence all regularly adopt rules and		Larson that has						
					regulations which allow development that will obviously pollute the aquifer -		done his own						
					commercial stormwater drainage directly into pipes in the aquifer, residential		monitoring/observ	New Devel; OSDS;					
					development on septic systems next to lakes and surface water, logging activities		ations of Clear	Forestry-General;					
146				35-E	that include application of all manner of chemicals, etc.	2	Lake.	Forestry-pesticides					
					·Water District tried to prevent the spraying of fertilizers, herbicides and								
					pesticides inside the Clear Lake watershed. The board was informed that there								
1.47				25 E	was nothing that could be done until it could be proven that something had	2		Egrastry posticidos					
147				35-F	actually harmed the water - after the spraying had been allowed. The protection zone language for herbicide spraying was purposefully written by	3		Forestry-pesticides					
					Lane County to be completely ineffective as far as application to logging								
					operations inside the watershed, and minimal as to pollution from other human								
148				35-G	activities.	3		Forestry-pesticides					
					·Oregon politicians and officials, in my opinion, are unable to stand up to the			J 1					
					heavy political and financial influence wielded by the timber and development								
					industries in Oregon - influence which prevents any meaningful regulatory actions								
149				35-H	regarding nonpoint pollution of our waters.	4		Forestry-general					
					Oregon does not have a workable program that meets the requirements of EPA								
					and NOAA for a coastal nonpoint pollution program. Piecemeal approaches such			New devel; Forestry-					
					as promises to increase TMDL's, tighten Department of Forestry riparian rules			riparian; Forestry-					
150				25 I	and decommission legacy roads, are insufficient as basic management measures to grant Oregon approval for a nonpoint program.	4		roads; General-water quality					
150				35-I		4		quanty					
					NOAA/EPA need to require Oregon to provide not only a solid framework of basic management measures, but also a detailed and concrete list of additional								
					management measures to actually protect riparian areas, and provide substantially								
					increased protections for fertilizer, herbicide and pesticide applications near fish-			Forestry-riparian;					
151				35-J	bearing and non-fish bearing streams.	4		Forestry-pesticides					
					·As long as Oregon governmental agencies continue to receive Federal monies for			* *					
	4. \ (2)				this program, it will never create an enforceable (much less enforced) and								
152	(b) (6)	citizen	3/19/14	35-K	therefore effective, program.	4		Penalities					

А	В	С	D	E	F	G	H I	J	K	L	М	N
							Penalities-negative					
					Recognize the need to improve water quality but urges NOAA/EPA to rethink		impacts; General					
					proposed decision due to significant impacts penalities would have on state's		need to improve					
153				36-A	ability to continue to improve water quality.	1	water quality	Against	1			
133	-			2011	· 319 and 306 \$ is used to do a lot of good things to improve water quality	-	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	- I Sumot				
					(OWEB, TMDLs, monitoring, assit to local govn't) that are amoung the most		Penalities-negative					
154				36-B	important tools in addressing NPS.	1	impacts					
131	-			202	mp or tune to one in the or estimate of the original and	-	Penalities-negative					
155				36-C	Penalities hurt agencies/programs but don't change the rules.	1	impacts					
	Tillamook				· Ask that NOAA/EPA continue to work with state to come into compliance but							
	Estuary	organizatio			delay/avoid penalities. Reach out to partners like TEP to help address remaining							
156	Partnership	n	3/19/14	36-7	conditions.	3	Penalities					
157	T drenership	organization		37-A	· Against penalities.	1	Penalities	Against	1			
137	_	organization	3/17/14	37 11	• \$27,000/yr dept. receives from OR CZM is important part of budget for		Penalities-negative	7 iguilist	1			
158				37-B	implementing czm on ground and controlling growth.	1	impacts					
138	_			31- D	Oregon has strong land use planning and watershed mngt programs that benefit		Penalities-negative					
159				37-C	from this funding.	1	impacts					
159	Lincoln County			31-C	• Taking away significant federal \$ will be counterproductive. It will take years to	1	impacts					
	Board of				recover from funding loss and will likely not result in the changes NOAA/EPA		Danalities negative					
160				37-D	•	1	Penalities-negative					
160	Commissions			3/-D	seek.	ı ı	impacts					
	h) (6)				Need better must of toying. There is every in and indiscriminate use of toying							
	b) (6)	-:4:	2/10/14	20 1	· Need better mngt of toxics. There is excessive and indiscriminate use of toxic	1	Towing/Docticides	F		1		
161		citizen	3/19/14	38-A	chemical poisons in land management, including agriculture and tree farms.	1	Toxics/Pesticides	FOr		1		
					No. 14 and 15 distribution of the state of t		A . C 1 . C					
					Need to consider all the good work cattleman have done to protect water quality.		Ag-General; General	L -				
			2/10/14	20. 4	Commentor is cattleman and fisherman that fences his creek and enjoys salmon	1	made improvements				4	
162		citizen	3/19/14	39-A	that run up it.	J	in water quality	Unclear			1	
					Supports proposed disapproval. Significant clear cuttings occuring in "protected"		Decision; Forestry-					
					(Clear Lake) watershed w/ minimal (10 ft) buffers between waterways (including		clear cutting;					
163				40-A	drinking water source) and homes.	1	Forestry-riparian	_		1		
					Spraying and burning also occurs very close to (and over) homes too causing							
164				40-B	health problems and contaminating drinking water. This should not be allowed.	1	Forestry-pesticides					
					· Attempting to relocate during spray/burn events causes financial hardship and							
					spray/burn permits can last for months. Owners are given no warning when							
					activities will occur. Property values are lowered and no one would buy home if							
165				40-C	tried to sell due to publicity of harmful forestry activities in area.	2	2 Forestry-pesticides					
					· Shocked that OR allows this to happen to its citizens and hopes laws change							
166		citizen	3/20/14	40-D	soon to protect citizen health and drinking water.	2	Porestry-general	For				
							Decision;					
167				41-A	· Supports disapproval and Lisa Arkin's (Beyond Toxics) letter	1	Toxics/Pesticides			1		
					· Lives in WA and notes WA aquaculture and USDA spray directly over estuaries-							
168				41-B	state and local authorities are reluctant to stop them.	1	Toxics/Pesticides					
					· NOAA/EPA need to look at WA's pesticide practices too. Commentor believes							
					WA pay "lip service" to the 100ft buffer requirements they have for pesticide							
169		citizen	3/20/14	41-C	application but lack of enforcement leads to impaired waters and starfish die-offs.	1	Toxics/Pesticides	For				
170				42-A	· Supports diapproval	1	Decision					
L	1	1				1	<u> </u>	1				

l A	В	С	D	E	F	G	Н	I	J	К	L	М	N
					· Oregon does not have a program in place to control nonpoint source pollution in								
					its coastal watersheds that is sufficient to carry out the CZARA management								
					measures, as well as the								
					additional management measures the law requires to achieve and maintain			Decision; General-					
					Oregon's water quality standards, including protecting Oregon's designated uses,			fails to meet					
171				42-B	including drinking water standards.	1		wqs/uses					
1/1				12 0	OR's current WQS and drinking water standards are failing to protect drinking	_	<u> </u>	General-fails to meet					
172				42-C	water	1		wqs/uses					
1/2				72-0	· Jetty Creek watershed provides drinking water to Rockaway Beach. 80% of	1		Forestry-clear					
					watershed has been clearcut over past several years even though DEQ source			cutting; Forestry-					
172				42 D		1		landslide					
1/3				42-D	water assessment noted these are steep slopes with erosive soils.	1	-	Tanusnue					
					Rockaway Beach drinking water has exceeded the EPA standards for allowable								
				10.5	trihalomethane (THM) for the last three years (forms when add Cl to overly turbid			F . C . 1					
174				42-E	waters).	2		Forestry-General					
				–	Because its been clearcut, a lot of spraying has occurred in drinking water			.					
175				42-F	watershed. Drinking water had tested positive for glyphosate.	2	_	Forestry-pesticides					
								Forestry-pesticides;					
							Attached summary	Monitoring-					
					· No coordination between DEQ/ODF to conduct pesticide monitoring in timely		written in	improvements					
176				42-G	manner and community is given no warning of spraying.	2	September 2012	needed					
							by Rockaway	Forestry-pesticides;					
							Beach Citizens for	Monitoring-					
					· No monitoring of airial drift of pesticide even when OR Health Admin says can		Watershed	improvements					
177				42-H	drift for 2-4 miles.	2	Protection which	needed					
					After having been in contact with numerous public agencies, we are certain that		describes concerns						
					Oregon does not have sufficient laws and regulations in place to insure safe and		regarding its	General-fails to meet					
178	(b) (6)	citizen	3/20/14	42-I	clean drinking water, as well as adequate fish and wildlife habit.	2	drinking water	wqs/uses	For		1		
					7			Decision; Penalities-			_		
179				43-A	· Supports disapproval even it if means loss of \$4M.	1		benefits					
180					Oregon FPA aren't effective and state has no intentions to improve.	1		Forestry-General					
100				13 B	· ODF and Gov's Natural Resource staff say state's land use laws provide			Torestry General					
181				43-C	protections but if they worked, wouldn't have problems we see today.	1		Forestry-General					
101				-13-C	protections but it they worked, wouldn't have problems we see today.	1	-	Forestry-clear					
					Logging around Quartz Creek deputed the error Decignation of spotted evil sites			cutting; Forestry-					
					Logging around Quartz Creek denuded the area. Designation of spotted owl sites			<i>C</i> ,					
100				12 D	and high risk areas meant nothing to operator. Hills, road failures, and on-going	1		General; Forestry-					
182				43-D	erosion verify the consequences of ODF's ineffective rules and laws.	1		roads					
					Clear that OR forest practices are far behind CA and WA. There are signifiant								
					differences in setbacks, notification or application process and consequences for			.					
					non-compliance rather than just passing the consequences on to future			Forestry-General;					
183				43-E	generations.	2	2.	Forestry-riparian					
					· With 70% of Oregon's streams threatened or endangered because of temperature,			Forestry-General;					
	(1) (0)				sediment and chemicals it is past time to reign in these Oregon logging practices			General-fails to meet					
184	(b) (6)	citizen	3/20/14	43-F	and laws do not begin to protect ecosystems or future generational needs	2		wqs/uses	for		1		
185				44-A	· Support disapproval.	1		Decision					

А	В	С	D	E	F	G	Н І	J	K	L	М	N
				44.5	· OR does not have effective programs in place to limit nonpoint source pollution in our coastal watersheds. The plans and rules they do have are not actually working programs sufficient to meet and maintain water quality standards and	4	General-fails to meet wqs/uses; General- need to improve					
186				44-B	protect our clean water, fish and other public uses.	1	water quality					
187				44-C	· State needs to adopt additional, enforceable management measures most importantly in agricultural and forested lands	1	Ag-add MMs					
188				44-D	· Areas where program improvement needed that could actually work to control polluted runoff from logging would be protection of riparian areas for small and medium streams (fish and non-fish bearig), including sufficient riparian buffers for application of pesticides along non-fish streams; treating old logging roads often built on fill that are leaching sediment, protection of high-risk landslide areas from cuts		Forestry-riparian; Forestry-roads; Forestry-landslides					
				44 5	• Concur that OR does not have adequate protections for new devel. Seems to be	1	N. 1 1					
190				44-E 44-F	Oregon's biggest lack in management measures to help us meet water quality standards to protect our Oregon coast coho, amphibians, and drinking water and other uses may be Oregon's lack of agricultural practices. Legacy areas where there is only a buffer of blackberries along our rivers and streams do not need to be planted, cows trample our stream banks and don't need to be fenced out are common sights. Animal waste runs off through eroding fields into our streams.	1	Ag-General; Ag-legacy; Ag-buffers					
	(b) (6)	•,•	2/20/14	44.0	Concerned that beavers, which could help re-build our downcutting streams	1	D	Б		1		
191		citizen	3/20/14	44-G	channels and make complex floodplains and wetlands, are trapped or hunted out.	1	Beavers General-water	For		1		
192				45-A	·NPS is biggest threat to OR coastal waters habitats, etc.	1	General-water quality					
193	(b) (6)	citizen	3/20/14		Large industry (forestry roads and spraying) is impacting water quality. OR needs laws to protect water quality. Need to use CNP to improve these issues and laws to provide better oversight.	1	Forestry-roads; Forestry-pesticides	For		1		
194				46-A	·Supports disapproval	1	Decision	For		1		
195					OR doesn't have programs in place to meet CZARA requirements, including add MMs, and meet wqs and designated uses. Oregon is failing to protect are native fish; native aquatic and aquatic-dependent wildlife including birds, mammals, and amphibians; public and private drinking water; fishing, including eating fish free from contamination; swimming, wading,	1	General-needs to meet wqs/uses					
196				46-B	 and boating; and my ability to enjoy the aesthetic qualities of Oregon's waters and wetlands. State is not doing enough to prevent polluted runoff from forestryespecially 	1	General-needs to meet wqs/uses Forestry-General;					
197				46-C	related totimber harvesting and riparian protection (fish and nonfish-bearing streams and for pesticide application). Concerned about chemical use and its impacts on neighboring property (sites example of husband experiencing side effects from alledged nearby pesticide use and contamination of domestic water supplies). Need to do more than just adhear to label requirementsthat shouldn't be all that is legally required for industry to	2	Forestry-riparian; Forestry-pesticides					
198				46-D	meet. Concerned about insufficient or complete lack of warning from ODF when	5	Forestry-pesticides					
199				46-E	pesticides will be used near property.	5	Forestry-pesticides					

A	В	С	D	E	F	G	Н І	J	K	L	М	N
200				46-F	ODF's assumptions, policies, laws and practices, pose a huge threat to the quality of life, long term economic viability, and sustainability of our communities.	5	Forestry-General					
201				46-G	OR needs to protect surface drinking water in Deer Creek Watershedcritical source of water for residents.	6	Forestry-General; General-needs to meet wqs/uses					
	(b) (6)		2/20/14	46 11	Oregon doesn't have programs in place to protect and restore riparian areas needed to maintain cool stream temperatures and habitat, protect and restore channel conditions from modification, protect and restore wetlands, identify where more protection is needed to protect important habitat for species, identify where more pollution control is needed to protect uses, monitor water quality and use water quality data to improve pollution controls, monitor pesticide use and impacts, assess whether pollution controls are reducing pollution and improving water quality, link the enforcement agencies and process with other agencies, or use enforcement when		Forestry-riparian; Ag riparian; Hydromod; Wetlands; Monitoring- improvements needed; Toxics/Pesticides; General-voluntary					
202		citizen	3/20/14	46-H 47-A	voluntary actions are not adequate to protect water quality. • Support proposed decision and finding doc.	1	approaches Decision	for		1		
203	(b) (6)	citizen	3/20/14	47-A 47-B	Important for state to include additional MM for agriculture.	1	Ag-add MMs	101		1		
205					Use data to uniformly establish, prioritize, and track programmatic progress towards water quality goals. Need better effectiveness monitoring to be able to make adapative changes as needed to voluntary and other programs. Cites ag, in particular. Need better science to inform implementation targets and determine how well programs are working. (Ex. TFT's recent use of LiDAR to determine ability of buffers to produce adequate shade). Moving forward with new Ag regs without first understanding the gap between the problem and current conditions and without data-based benchmarks for chipping away at the problem will only perpetuate issues moving forward.	1, 2, 3	Monitoring - improvements needed; Ag - General	for		1		
206				73-B	Focus on outcomes and support the tools that achieve progress on the ground. The loss of approximately \$4 million per year in funding for on-the-ground restoration runs wholly counter to what all agree is needed on the ground.		Penalties - negative impacts					
207				73-C	NWEA's claim that CZARA needs to be achieving WQS now is not correct. CZARA obligations may not currently require controls, but instead contemplate future actions.	4, 5	General					
208	'he Freshwater Trus	organization	3/20/14	73-D	Requests that NOAA/EPA include TFT's 4/22/13 response to NWEA's March 13, 2013 to EPA Regarding Medford Permits to record. TFT's letter corrects factual and legal inaccuracies in NWEA's letter. Also should include TFT's 9/27/13 public comments to Oregon DEQ on Wilsonville's now-withdrawn water quality trading program as section III(C)(4)(d) of the Proposed Finding.		General - Public comment					
209		J		48-A	· State has gotten by with an ineffective piecemeal approach, including promises to tighten TMDL's, increase the size of riparian buffers under Department of Forestry rules for logging on private lands, decommission and/or restore so-called legacy roads in forestlands, and craft a voluntary approach to onsite septic leakage. All of these things are necessary, but none are remotely sufficient to solve the problems facing coastal communities.	1	General-need to improve water quality; Forestry-riparian buffers; Forestry-roads; OSDS			1		

	Α	В	С	D	F	F	G	H I	J	К	I	М	N
	Λ.	<u>_</u>				· Supports disapproval. Lack of NOAA/EPA action and penalities has allowed OR			,	K		141	11
						to continue limping along with half-measures for seventeen years that are effective							
210					48-B	while drinking water and other impairments occur.	7	Decision; Penalties					
					.02	State has refused to create, use, enforce and maintain a nonpoint program that		General-fails to meet					
211					48-C	protects the designated uses.	2	wqs/uses					
					10 0	• There are no 6217 MM to protect drinking water from loggingthe central issue		, , q ₀ , ases					
212					48-D	for coastal communities.	2	Forestry-General					
212					40-D	Agree that state need to adopt add. MM for forestry. Otherwise WQS		Torestry General					
212					48-E	std/designated uses (drinking water) won't be met.	2	Forestry-General					
213					40-L	Drinking waters are surrounded by private forest land or are below forest	2	Torestry-General					
24.4					40 E	operations. 20ft buffers on fish-bearing streams do not protect from sedimentation		E-matus singuian					
214					48-F	and pesticide/herbicide use.	2	Forestry-riparian					
24.5					10 C	Concerned shout ODE's vegue public notification requirements when spraying		Forestry-pesticides					
215					48-G	Concerned about ODF's vague public notification requirements when spraying.	2	V 1					
216					48-H	ODF/DEQ don't have regular testing protocols for pesticides after sprays.	2	Forestry-pesticides					
						Lack of sufficient protection for non-fish bearing streams is significant issue.							
					40.7	Agree with NOAA/EPA that add MM for better rip protection of non-fish bearing							
217					48-I	streams is needed.	3	Forestry-riparian					
						The 20-foot riparian buffer where required is completely ineffective, and subject							
218					48-J	to blowdown in even a moderate coastal storm.	3	Forestry-riparian					
						~40% of residents in the coastal region live outside of UGBs which means that the							
						majority of those residents are on septic systems. Minimal enforcement. Sites							
						example of how worked with Dunes Creek to adopt their own OSDS ordiance to							
						require regular inspections since county was not doing enough. Attached several							
						related ODSD docs for Dunes City. Sites other examples where hot spots of							
219					48-K	failing systems yet nothing has been done.	4	OSDS					
						Voluntary OSDS proposal will not work (it didn't in Dunes City). No tracking and							
						DEQ lacks resources to do so. Must require OR to require Oregon, to create,							
						maintain and enforce an onsite septic program that requires at least: (a) mandatory							
						inspection every few [three to five] years; (2) mandatory pumping initially and							
						subsequently after inspection whenever needed; (3) a step-by-step program							
						through which Oregon will help homeowners with grants and low cost loans who							
						need help with pumping costs and/or must replace old, failing septic systems; (d)							
						explicit enforcement mechanisms. If counties have the option to manage the							
		Oregon Coast				program, the same funding and enforcement mechanisms would need to be							
220		Alliance	organization	3/20/14	48-J	in place.	5	OSDS	For				
221				2.25.11	49-A	Supports disapproval.	1		for		1		
					.,	OR doesn't have program in place to meet CZARA requirement and WQS and		General-fails to meet					
222					19-B	protect designated uses	1	wqs/uses					
					171	Oregon has failed to control run-off pollution from timber harvest and logging		Forestry-General;					
223					49-C	roads.	1	Forestry-roads					
223					77-0	State has failed to control polluted runoff from urban development and roads,	1	1 orestry roads					
224					49-D	highways and bridges.	1	New Devel					
224					7/-1/	ingirmays and oriagos.	1	New Devel					
						Insufficient riparian buffers for fish and non-fish bearing streams contributes to							
						÷							
22-					40 E	polluted runoff and doesn't have programs in place to adequately protect and	1	Forester simosi					
225					49-E	restore riparian areas needed to maintian cool stream temperatures and habitat.	1	Forestry-riparian					

	Α	В	С	D	E	F	G	H I	J	K	L	М	N
226					49-F 49-G	OR has failed to control polluted runoff from eroding streambanks and shorelines and the effects of dams on water and habitat and channel modification and doesn't have programs in place to provide adequate protection OR has failed to control polluted runoff from erosion and sedimentation from agricultural lands and livestock destruction of riparian areas.	1	Hyrdomod Ag-General; Ag- buffers					
227					47-0		1	builets					
228					49-H	OR doesn't have programs in place to protect streams/fish from polluted runoff from pesticide use on forest land and monitor pesticide use and impjacts.	1	Forestry-pesticides					
229					49-I	OR doesn't have programs in place to adequately assess whether pollution controls are reducing pollution and improving water quality;	1	Monitoring- improvements needed					
223		-			77-1	Doesn't believe Oregon has described link between the enforcement agencies and process with other agencies and use enforcement when voluntary actions are not	1	General-voluntary					
220		Native Fish Society	organization	3/20/14	49-J	adequate to protect water	1	approaches					
230		Native Pish Society	yorgamzanon	3/20/14	53-A		1	Decision			1		
231		_			33-A	OR doesn't have programs in place to protect drinking water. Problems with		Decision			1		
232					53-B	logging, pesticide use, quarries.	1	General-Forestry General-fails to meet wqs/uses; Forestry-					
233					53-C	Logging rds/overharvesting/landslides cause excess turbidity that reacts with Cl to produce carcinogens.	1	landslides; Forestry- roads					
234					53-D	No monitoring after spraying to understand true impacts/risks. Little warning when spraying occurs.	1	Forestry-pesticides					
225					53-E	Need to require turbidity monitoring of streams during and after rainstorms and use enforcement for excess turbidity. Need road surface condition monitoring on a regular basis.	2	Monitoring- improvements needed					
235		-			33-E	Problems with FPA include restrictions on clearcuts to 120 ac by one owner		Forestry-clear					
226					52 E		2						
236					53-F 53-G	(doesn't account for cumulative impacts of nearby owners) Need to ensure quarries operating in drinking water areas are inspected regularly and regulated properly.	2	cutting Monitoring- improvements needed					
220		Oceanside Cleanwater	amaani sati am	2/15/14	52 H	DOH only requires inspection of drinking water for organic toxics every 3 yrs.	2	Monitoring- improvements	for				
238		Subcommittee	organization	3/13/14	53-H 51-A	Needs to be more frequent and relevant to when spraying occurs.	1	needed Decision	for		1		
239					31-A	OR needs to do more to prevent NPS to bays/estuaries. All but one of the bays in which shellfish are farmed commercially require daily monitoring because of	1	General- Need to			1		
						pollution impacts of a non-point source origin. Some of these growing areas may		Improve Water					
240					51-B	end up being closed for over 100 days each year for pollution reasons	1	Quality					
		1				A more rigorously regulated and monitored onsite program is needed to prevent							
241			Shellfish		51-C	toxic bacteria outbreaks like Coos Bay 2013.	1	OSDS					
	71	† b) (6)	Program			A closer and more critical look at how effectively pollution from agricultural							
242			ead (ODA)	3/6/14	51-D	origin is being controlled is needed	2	Ag-General	for				
			rancher/far		64, 66, 68-A	Against disapproval. Disapproval punishes the agriculture community and our strong efforts to meet the requirements of the CNPCP and improve water quality	1	Ag - General; Penalties - Negative					
243			mer	3/20/14		conditions		impacts	Against	3			

А	В	С	D	E	F	G	Н	I	J	K	L	M	N
				64, 66,	Values the CNPCP program and 319 \$ because programs provide funding for			General; Penalties -					
				68-B	stream improvement and restoration projects and monitoring in our areas.	3		Negative impacts					
244				00-В	Penalities are counterintuitive			Negative impacts					
					Many ranchers and farmers in my area have worked hard as required by the								
					AWQMP rules to contribute towards the State's efforts to meet or exceed water								
					quality standards. For instance, local farmers and ranchers have invested hundreds								
				61 66	of hours in developing, and re-developing Ag Water Quality Management Plans			Ag - General;					
				64, 66, 68-C	that formulate watershed goals and investment priority areas that will continue to	1		Penalties - Negative					
				08-C	enhance water quality and ensure the State can meet its water quality obligations.			imacts					
					To lose funding for these efforts would be discouraging and limit the capacity to								
					achieve future water quality goals. He has planted trees and provides								
245					woodland/riparian boards around creeks.								
				(1 (6	Oregon is meeting and in many ways exceeding the federal statutory and								
				64, 66,	regulatory requirements for Coastal Zone Act Reauthorization Amendments	1		General					
246				68-D	(CZARA) grant funding.		F 1 1 14 1						
				64, 66,			Each submitted	A a Came ::=1					
247				68-E	1455b(g)(5)	1	the same letter	Ag - General					
					ODA identifies agriculture activities that are preventing achievement or		individually under						
				64, 66,	maintenance of water quality standards and works with farmers to modify, reduce,		their name.	Ag - General; Ag -					
				68-F	or remove them from our operations. ODA works with farmers to address	2		EP&Ms					
248					problems voluntarily before going to enforcement.								
					Between 1998 and 2012, OWEB contributed nearly \$18 million for coastal								
					agricultural water projects and over \$5 million was provided in-kind by local								
					SWCDs and landowners. This contributed to the restoration of 956 linear stream								
				64, 66,				Ag - General; Ag -					
				68-G	owners have voluntarily enrolled thousands of acres in federal programs that are	2		Buffers					
					designed to improve water quality. We have done this with the understanding that								
					the AWQMP and our work would meet federal and state requirements for								
249					agriculture.								
				64, 66,	EPA nor NOAA, haven't provided specific data or information to support their			. ~ .					
250					claim that NPS problems from ag are widespread.	2		Ag - General					
					AWQMP requires ODA to implement site-specific and site-appropriate controls.								
				_,	These controls are designed to address actual water quality issues with								
				64, 66,	economically achievable measures. In my area, farmers and ranchers are planting	3		Ag - General; Ag -					
				68-I	trees along streams, fencing streams with buffered areas, and providing alternative			Buffers					
251					water sources for cattle								
					To say Oregon landowners have not worked on protecting water quality does not								
				64, 66.	take into account the many volunteer actions we have done. For instance, in the								
				68	Wilson River watershed, a variety of partners have spent more than 1.4 million								
252					dollars restoring and protecting the lower Wilson Watershed.								
252 253				52-A	Supports disapproval.			Decision	For		1		
	1				** **								
					FPA is written to protect the timber industry, not the human and wildlife								
					communities it invades, riparian ordinances established to facilitate development								
	Land Watch Lane				and private property "rights" that eschew public responsibility have assured the								
254	County	organization	3/20/14	52-B	steady degradation of Oregon's environmental health and beauty.	1		General-Forestry					
	,				Supports disapproval even though recognizes penalities will hurt programs								
255				54-A	working to do good.	1		Decision			1		
LL	1	1	1	1		1	1	l .	1	l			

		A B	С	D	E	F	G	H I	J	K	L	М	N
25	56				54-B	OR needs improved pesticides application restrictions and protections for all classes of streams in both forestry and agricultural areas. Additionally, we encourage EPA and NOAA to require even greater pesticide protection standards for all land use areas within the Oregon Coastal Zone to prevent many of the unmonitored dangers that these chemicals pose to humans and aquatic species, like salmon.	1	Forestry-Pesticdes; Agriculture- Pesticides					
25						Supports NOAA/EPA rationales for why OR hasn't meet CZARA requirements,	2						
25	7				54-C	including concerns raised about ag. Oregon's pesticide laws, forestry management laws, clean water laws, and its implementing regulatory programs fail to adequately protect coastal zone resources and the people living within the coastal zone from the dangers of the increasing use of pesticides across all land uses and activities, but especially in the activities of forestry and agriculture. In the Oregon Coastal Zone, neither FIFRA, nor state pesticides, agricultural, or forestry laws adequately protect or account for		Decision Forestry-Pesticdes; Agriculture-					
25	8				54-D		3	Pesticides					
25	9				54-E	Although NOAA/EPA found Oregon's state-level frameworks and actions to address	3	Forestry-Pesticdes; Agriculture- Pesticides					
26	60				54-F	EPA and NOAA improperly assume that, should riparian buffer standards for type N streams and monitoring programs within the coastal zone adhere to existing state laws and programs concerning water quality and pesticides, then Oregon's CNPCP would warrant approval. We disagree because existing state and federal laws fail to address large swaths of the pesticide application activities and fail to collect critical pesticide application and risk data.		Forestry-Pesticdes; Agriculture- Pesticides					
						Documented in a recent report, Oregon's Industrial Forests and Herbicide Use: A Case Study of Risk to People, Drinking Water and Salmon, private forestry operations in Oregon operate under antiquated and loose regulations, allowing aerial spraying and unmonitored applications of pesticides as compared to their federal forestry operation and border-state counterparts. Specifically 1)There are known endocrine disrupting chemicals entering our drinking water sources and fish-bearing streams. 2) Oregon does not require a no-spray buffer near homes and schools. 3) Aerial herbicide sprays regularly occur directly over headwaters and tributaries of protected salmon streams. 4) Oregon permits pesticides to be sprayed with only the smallest protective buffer of 60 feet from salmon and steelhead streams—a buffer significantly smaller than other Northwest states with similar forest and river ecosystems. 5) Stricter chemical and pesticide rules apply in neighboring states with heavy forestry industries. 6) Under the current administrative rules, the Oregon Forest Practices Act prohibits researchers, doctors and the public from obtaining accurate information about what types and quantities of herbicides are		Forestry-Pesticdes; Agriculture-					
26	1				54-G	sprayed	6	Pesticides Forestry Postindes					
26	12				54-H	Cites environmental and health risks from glyphosate and other pesticides. Also expressed concerns regarding unknown and unmonitored risks of pesticides.	4-5, 7-10	Forestry-Pesticdes; Agriculture- Pesticides					
20					JT-11	expressed concerns regarding unknown and unmonitored risks of pesticides.	7-10	Specific comment on EPA/NOAA					
					<i>51</i> T	Among the specific reasons for disapproval, EPA and NOAA targeted Oregon's		decision for					
26	3				54-J	lack of buffers for pesticide application on type N streams	3	disapproval					

	АТ	В	С	D	F	F	G	Н	Ţ	I	К	ı	М	N
					'	Nonpoint pollution sources like forestry and agriculture, present increasingly			•		,,	_	171	.,,
						difficult sources of water pollution to control because of many exemptions to								
						federal environmental lows surrounding these activities. For example, with the								
						passage of the Agricultural Act of 2014, forestry operations were officially exemp	t							
						from the NPDES permitting program that acts as the primary control of water								
						pollution within the US. Most agriculture has also been exempted from the same	p 3-		General Comment					
264					54-K		_	p 3-4	on CZARA					
						Studies abound concerning health and environmental effects of a commonly		r -						
265					54-L	applied herbicide, glyphosate.	###	p4						
						A study published in 1999 found that people exposed to glyphosate are 2.7 times		•						
						more likely to contract non-Hodgkin lymphoma (NHL). In 2002, a study of								
						Swedish men showed that glyphosate exposure was significantly associated with								
						an increased risk of NHL, and hairy cell leukemia - a rare subtype of NHL.								
						Further, a 2003 review of studies conducted on farmers by researchers at the								
						National Cancer Institute shows that exposure to glyphosate is associated with an								
						increased incidence of NHL. The American Cancer Society states that non-								
						Hodgkin lymophoma is a cancer that starts in cells called lymphocytes, which are								
266					54-M		p-4	4						
						Brest cancer, ADD/ADHD, increasedrisks of late abortion, and endocrine								
						disruption have all been linked to glyphosate exposure. Glyphosate has also been								
						suggestively, associated with an increased risk of multiple myeloma, according to								
						an Agricultural Health Study published in 2005. Multiple meloma is another type								
267					54-N	of cancer that starts in plasma cells- a type of white blood cell.	p-5							
						Health effects are not limited to humans. A 2011 study found that glyphosate								
						changed the toxicological parameters in certain fish. Another study from 2010								
						found that sublethal residues of glyphosate induced immunological responses in								
						fish and alters their natural immune response to bacterial and possibly to other								
						aquatic microorganisma. Chronic esposure has been associated with								
						histopathological damage in the gills and liver of freshwater fish species, some of								
						which was irreversible. A study found that Roundup, the most commonly used								
						glyphosate product, alone, is extremely lethal to amphibians in concentrations								
268					54-O	found in the environment.	p-5	p 5						
						The environmental impacts to glyphosate to surface waters and surrounding areas								
						are becoming an increasing concern. More than 180 million pounds of glyphosate								
						are used annually in the US. See USGS report. Because of heavy use Glyphosate								
						is routinely detected in surface and ground water. A separate USGS survey								
						detected glyphosate in 36% of samples, and aminomethylphosphonic acid or								
269					54-P	AMPA (a degradation product of glyphosate) in 69% of the samples.	p-5							
						EPA set the MCL at o.7 PPM. Unfortnately, many fo the above noted health								
270					54-Q	effects and environmental impacts have been observed at levels below this MCL.	p-5							

А	В	С	D E	F	G	Н І	J	К	L	М	N
				Unknown and unmonitored uses are a large part of the problem, but so too are the unknown and unmonitored health and environmental risks, a fact directly raised by litigation concerning failed mandatory ESA evaluations of 37 pesticides for							
			54-	potential impacts on endangered and threatened species. As most risk assessments are based on not only old but incomplete data and endpoint evaluations, pesticides application management measures should require reevaluation for this endpoints		pesticides					
2/1			34-	Inert ingredients in Pesticides - "Adjuvants". Most risk assessments and testing standards for pesticides do not require extensive testing of disclosure of the inert ingredients which can be biologically or chemically active. They can pose more dangers than active ingredients. Recent scientific inquiries reveal that these ingredients demonstrate significant toxic effect themselves and increase the toxicity of active ingredients. A 2008 study was the first to definitely enfirm this	p- 7	monitoring					
272	-		54-		p-7						
273			54-	Other studies have found that the formulated glyphosate products reduces human placental JEG3 cells viability at least two times more efficiently than glyphosate, disrupts aromataze activity and mRNA levels, induce a dose-dependent formation of DNA adducts in the kidneys and liver of mice, and induce develomental retardation of the fetal skeleton, a disease in sperm number, and increase in the percentage of abnormal sperms.	p 8						
				The dangers of inerts do not stop with humans. Using glyphosate as the demonstrative chemical again, glyphosate and its formulated products adversely impact aquatic organisms contrary to industry claims. A study in 2005 found that Round-up as a whole is "extremely lethal" to amphibians in concentrations found in the environment. Another study found that tadpoles chronically exposed to environmentally relevant concentrations of glyphosate formulations containing POEA showed decreased snout-vent length at metamorphosis and increased time to metamorphasis, tail damage adn gonadal abnormalities. Other organisms such as the freshwater mussel are found to be the most sensitive aquatic organisms							
274			54-	EPA in its RegistrationEligibility Decision RED document in 1993 acknowledges that an "inert" ingredient in some glyphosate end-use products was toxic to aquatic organisms and found that these products necessitated labeling: "toxic to fish" as these products are applied directly to aquatic environments. EPA is also aware that glyphosate poses a risk of water contamination since it is not only released directly into aquatic environments, but also via the transport of residues	p-8						

А	В	С	D	E	F	G	н і	J	K	L	М	N
	_				·							-
					Concerns over inert ingredients' health and environmental effects are not limited							
					to glyphosate products especially with regard to Oregon Coastal zone species.							
					This is why in its Biological Opinion concerning Chloropyrifos, Diazinon and							
					Malathion, the National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS) went one step further							
					than the usual assessment protocols and examined risks associated with the							
					adjuvant, nonylphenol. NMFS made the following observation. "These results show that nonylphenol is of concern to aquatic life, particularly salmonid							
					endocrine systems involved in reproduction adn smoltificationConsequently,							
					the effects that these ingredients may have on listed salmonids and designated							
					critical habitat remain an uncertainty and are a recognized data gap of EPA's action under consultation". Thus when scientists do have access to information							
					concerning inert ingredients and can conduct risk assessments of the impacts of							
276				54-U		p-9						
276	-			34-0		h-2						
					Endocrine disruption occurs when chemicals interfere with human or other							
					species' hormones and hormone-receptors. In humans, adverse effects from							
					endocrine disruption are far ranging and include reproductive abnormalities,							
					neurological effects, and diseases such as diabetes, ADHD and cancer. In fish and							
				54-V	other aquatic species, similar problems with reproductive systems and neurological development have been documented.	p-9						
211	-			34- V	General comment on the requirements of States' CNPCP - Beyond complying with the detailed Coastal	p-9						
					Nonpoint Guidance, states and territories must revise its program to incorporate additional							
					management measures for land uses and areas subject to water quality standards and protected uses.							
270	Daviand Dastinidas	onconization.	2/20/14	-4.	These programs must also align with the overlapping env. laws and regulations such as the CWA, ESA	2	General Comm					
2/8	Beyond Pesticides	organization	3/20/14	54-I	and FIFRA	2	CZARA	for				
							Worked at DEO in					
							Worked at DEQ in					
270				55 A	Supports disapproval	1	early 1990s to help			1		
279	-			55-A	Supports disapproval	1	develop CNP.			1		
					Notes penalities seem counterintutive to Congress' intent with CZARA to improve							
					coastal wq and does not impact the 2 agencies (ODF/ODA) that can actually do							
				55 D	something to address issues. DEQ doesn't have authority to tell ODF/ODA to do	2	D14:					
280	-			55-B	something and lacks political will to get it.	2	Penaltie	28				
201				55-C	Federal agencies have obligation to step in since state lacks will do anything about	2	Genera	.1				
281	-			33-C	issues.	2	Genera	11				
					All concerns sited about ag in decision doc are correct based on commentors							
					experience working in Umqua and Mid-Coast Basins. ODA sees its role as							
					advocate for and protector of the agricultural industry, and devoted very little							
202				55 D	time, attention or resources to enforcement. Only the largest, most egregious cases	1	A a Cana	veo1				
282	-			55-D	have been subject to any enforcement action by ODA.	2	Ag-Gene	21 41				
					Served as advisory member to the Mid Coast Basin Agricultural Area Advisory							
					Committee in its review of the local area plan beginning in 2009, when specific							
					buffer proposals were presented to the committee. All of the specific proposals for							
					riparian protection were rejected by the committee, despite their knowledge of							
					specific water quality problems in the basin created or exacerbated by inadequate							
				55 T	riparian vegetation, including stream temperature problems and bacterial		A . D . CO					
283				55-E	contamination from livestock.	3	Ag-Buff	ers				

Δ	B	С	D	F	F	G	Н І	ı	К	<u> </u>	М	N
	5		7	<u> </u>	ODA's area plans focus on impaired areas rather than also focusing on protection:			,	K	-	141	1.4
					By refusing to require protective management measures, ODA is allowing							
					polluting practices to occur for many years until degraded water quality conditions							
					are documented and Total Maximum Daily Loads developed, self-implementing							
284				55-F	or otherwise.	3	Ag-General					
201	-			001	ODA does not track implementation and effectiveness of ODA area plans: Ag.		119 3011111					
					Monitoring is not sufficient. A monitoring plan developed by ODA was submitted							
					to the State's Independent Multidisciplinary Science Team (part of the state's		Ag-General;					
					salmon recovery effort), which found the plan to be lacking in detail and focus,		Monitoring-					
					and offered extensive advice to ODA about the basics of monitoring.		Improvements					
285				55-G	http://www.fsl.orst.edu/imst/reports/ODA_06-27-06.pdf		Needed Needed					
263	_			<i>33</i> -G	ODA's remoting sensing monitoring of riparian areas showed very little (if any)	1	Needed					
206				55 II	improvements in buffers. Now ODA may be scrapping remote sensing monitoring program for something else (see link in letter).		Ag-General					
286	-				ODA has authority to take action against legacy issues but lacks political will.		4 Ag-General					
28/	-			33-1		-	Ag-General					
					Protection of riparian areas: ODF's own study, Ripstream, documents that							
					harvesting on private forest land carries a significant risk (estimated at 40%) that							
				7.7. T	harvesting will result in violations of Oregon's water quality standard for		Tourse in in					
288	-			55-J	protecting cold water.		Forestry-riparian					
					In theroy, EQC has legal authority to require changes that will provide protection							
					to streams, the practical reality is that there is no certainty whatsoever that there							
					will be any additional riparian protection provided. EQC/DEQ can petition BOF							
289	-				but they can take 2 yrs to act and even then, could decide no to do anything.	4	Forestry-riparian					
290	-			55-L	Significant stream turbidity issues in Suislaw due to forest activities/rds.	1	5 Forestry-roads					
					Analysis of pesticide application records in the Triangle Lake area west of Eugene							
					shows that in the study area, more than 20 tons of pesticide products were applied							
291	-			55-M	in just a three-year period.	- 5	Forestry-pesticides					
	(b) (6)				Supports Beyond Toxics Comments. Need mandatory spray buffers and vegetated		Forestry-pesticides;					
292		citizen	3/20/14	55-N	riparian zone. Buffers around streams.	(6 Forestry-riparian	for				
					ODA is abandoning its approach in addressing riparian improvements. It now							
293 294					appears to have initiated a new program. See the attached specific web sites							
294	_			56-A	Support disapproval.	8	B Decision			1		
					Concerned about the impacts of polluted runoff from currently defined NPSs that							
					are a product of timber harvest, agriculture and urban development. Specifically							
					how those sources currently raise stream temperatures, and pollute our waterways							
					with bacteria, turbidity and sediment and the ways these types of activities impact							
					stream banks stability, and unnaturally increase the speed of runoff and stream							
					flow following precipitation events, altering the natural hydrograph and changing							
					erosion patterns. These types of pollution and other alterations effect threatened							
					species such as Southern Oregon Northern California Coast (SONCC) coho		General- water					
					salmon, other aquatic life and the public's ability to safely recreate and obtain	1 to	quality; general-					
295				56-B	clean drinking water.	2	salmon					
					OR needs additional MM for forestry. State's claim that land use laws and							
296				56-C	voluntary FPA are sufficient is false. Much more is needed.	2	2 Forestry-general					

А	В	С	D	E	F	G	Н І	J	K	L	М	N
					State has had over 16 yrs of notice backed by numerous studies/reports (1998							
					conditional approval, IMST, Ripstream, NMFS SONCC, Statewide Eval of FPA							
					Effectiveness) that needs to do more with forestry yet they still claim voluntary is	2 to						
297				56-D	way to go.	3	Forestry-general					
237				002	NMFS recommeded buffers range from 150-300ft far above 20ft that OR has		Torosaly general					
298				56-E	(only for fish-bearing).	3	Forestry-riparian					
230				30 L	Need larger spray buffers (may be better tha mulit-agency approach that attempts		Torestry Inpurium					
200				56-F	to monitor pesticide impacts).	3	Forestry-pesticides					
299				30-1)	1 orestry-pesticides					
					State's July 1, 2013 submission lacks any description or details about what							
					methods the state uses in evaluating effectiveness of BMPs, nor a process for							
					evaluating when additional BMPs may be required to protect beneficial uses, nor							
					any criteria for enforcement if the use (or not) of those BMPs results in							
					detrimental impacts to beneficial uses. The State goes on to claim that "Voluntary							
					reporting of voluntary measures has diminished in past years, however it is							
					reasonable to assume that voluntary measure implementation has not." If reporting							
					has dropped, it does not seem reasonable to assume that implementation							
300				56-G	continues, considering the voluntary nature.	3	Forestry-roads					
					States voluntary approach to address new devel isn't sufficient. TMDLs for a							
					number of parameters certainly cover the bulk of the area in question, but may not							
					cover the whole CZARA area, nor would they be for all the parameters that may							
					be at issue in those areas. Needs to be very clear what authority they will use,							
					show development of an implementation structure, a commitment of resources to							
					that structure, a track record of use of backup authority when criteria require it,							
					and a clearly articulated method to evaluate progress. In the interim while those							
					are being developed, the State needs to be clear on what type of outreach and							
301				56-H	training will be done as part of the voluntary measures that are being proposed.	4	New Development					
302				56-I	State needs direct rule for new devel.	4	New Development					
302				301	OR doesn't have sufficient ag programs to meet CZARA requirements. Inland	<u> </u>	Thew Bevelopment					
					Rogue Agricultural Water Quality Management Area Plan (IRAWQMAP)							
					management plans lacks specific thresholds for unacceptable activity, and thus are based on the subjective Rogue Riverkeeer comments RE: NOAA, EPA seek							
					j e							
					public comment on proposal to disapprove Oregon's Coastal Nonpoint Pollution							
					Program opinion of ODA staff. ODA does not appear to take water quality issues	E .						
202				5 C T	seriously as enforcement is strictly complaint driven, and enforcement is limited	5 to	A = C =====1					
303				56-J	and incredibly slow when it does occur.	0	Ag-General					
204				54 W	ODA staff has informed our staff that enforcement is complaint driven. Enforcement must be more proactive.	6 0	A a Camanal					
304				56-K		6, 8	Ag-General					
					When there is enforcement, it is incredibly slow and ineffective. In 2011 Rogue							
					Riverkeeper requested all complaints from since the IRAWQMAP was put in							
					place for the Inland Rogue. Only 20 complaints for both the Inland Rogue and							
					Bear Creek areas were filed, and most of them had limited follow up. In one							
					instance on Antelope Creek first reported in early 2008, it took 1.5 years from the							
					initial complaint of significant bacteria pollution from horses and cows to a letter							
305				56-L	of non-compliance (report tracking number 08-16).	8	Ag-General					
					We ask that EPA/NOAA require Oregon to implement additional management		Ag-add MMs;					
					measures, in particular for agriculture, forestry and urban development, to meet		Foresty-general,					
306	Rogue River Keepe	organization	3/20/14	56-M	water quality standards and protect designated uses.	8,9	New Development	for				

А	В	С	D	E	F	G	Н І	J	K	L	М	N
307												
308	-			58-A	Support disapproval.		Decision	for		1		
309				58-B	Climate Change Preparation/Mitigation, and Ocean Acidification: Need to prepare for climate change by putting programs in place to prevent harm to water quality and make watersheds more resilient to large storms, by requiring wider stream buffers for forestry and agriculture operations, larger fish-friendly culverts that pass more water from larger storms, improved road drainage, road drainage disconnected from streams, removal of valley bottom and mid-slope roads that intercept the downslope movement of beneficial wood and sediment, reduced road density especially in steep terrain, and better protection for unstable slopes.		General-need to include other issues Forestry-general	,				
310				58-C	Oregon's programs for protection of water quality could be improved by fully implementing its statewide land use goals which incorporate concepts of "carrying capacity."	3	General-need to include other issues					
					Oregon has approved several TMDLs in the Coast Range but the assumptions underlying those TMDLs are about to be undermined by efforts to reduce stream protection on federal forest lands. All of the alternatives proposed by BLM for the revision of its Resource Management Plans in western Oregon call for significant narrowing of stream buffers, and none of the action alternatives maintain the current buffers.							
311				58-D	http://www.blm.gov/or/plans/rmpswesternoregon/files/alternfaq.pdf	4	Forestry-General					
312					The TMDLs approved by the state allow more logging on non-federal lands, under the assumption that there logging near streams on federal lands would be strictly limited. Now it turns out that there will likely be more logging near streams on federal lands, so there needs to be a corresponding decrease in logging near streams on non-federal lands in order to avoid exceeding the watershed scale waste load identified in the TMDLs.		Forestry-logging					
					Focus on forest issues have been on shade/sediment. Also need large woody	4,5,	7 20 2					
313				58-E	debris.	6	Forestry-General					
314				58-F	Oregon needs greater controls on spraying chemicals such as pesticides and herbicides in coastal watersheds, especially near streams. Chemicals used by the forest and agriculture industries have direct adverse effects on listed fish and other organism.	6	Forestry-pesticides Agriculture- pesticides					
					Cites issues w/ existing OR struture for regulating wq. DEQ delegated authority to ODF/ODA (controlled by industry), lack of public participation, BOF stacked by		Forestry-General; A	g				
315				58-G		6,7	General; Other					
316	Oregon Wild	organization	3/20/14	58-H	Cites numerous studies about inadequacy of OFPA and how its worse than federal and neighboring states.	7 to	Forestry-clear cut; Forestry-landslides Forestry-riparian; Forestry-roads					
317	(b) (6)	citizen	3/20/14	59-A	Concerned about pesticide spraying. Secondhand account of citizens in western Lane County that had insecticide show up in blood tests and became ill after pesticide spraying. More needs to be done to protect human health from pesticide exposure.	1	Forestry-Pesticides; Ag-Pesticides	unclear			1	
318				60-A	Supports disapproval. Because 1) basic agricultural management measures are not in place and 2) current agricultural nonpoint source controls are insufficient to protect water quality and designated uses	1	Ag-General			1		

А	В	С	D	E	F	G	Н	I	J	K	L	М	N
319				60-B	OR fails to adequately regulate CAFOs. Study by Lewis and Clark Law School's Animal Law Clinic found that ODA lacks federal authorization to manage NPDES programs.	2		[Note: "Ag-CAFOs" category is outside of CZARA's scope]					
320				60-C	Oregon does not have basic management measures for agriculture in place because the State fails to adequately regulate CAFOs. Enforcement of agricultural water quality in Oregon is limited and largely complaint-driven. In addition to numerous documented examples of actual pollution, complaints against certain CAFOs are repeatedly submitted with no follow-up done or recorded. Many complainants report that ODA is unresponsive and dismissive of their concerns.		Letter contains citations in footnotes for	Ag-General					
321 322	G. : II			60-D	Agricultural Water Quality Management Area ("AWQMA") plan is entirely voluntary. "The rules adopted under this subsection shall constitute the only enforceable aspects of a water quality management plan." O.R.S. § 568.912(1). "Area rules are the only enforceable aspect of an AWQMA plan." O.A.R. 603-090-0000 (4). And this voluntary program is not backed up by any legal enforcement authority to regulate nonpoint sources as EPA/NOAA requires. Oregon fails to ensure basic management measures are in place	3	various claims	Ag-EP&Ms					
322	Socially Responsible			60-F			_						 I
323	Agriculture Program	organization	3/20/14	60-E	Oregon's CNPCP contains insufficient measures to achieve and maintain water quality standards and protect designated uses. Additional management are needed.	3		Ag-Add MMs	for				
324													
325 326													
327				61-A	Supports disapproval	1					1		
328	(b) (6)	citizen	3/20/14	61-B	Oregon has failed to control NPS from timber harvest and the construction and maintenance of logging roads. Last year I participated in steelhead spawning surveys on the Salmonberry River in Oregon's coast range. I saw the results of poorly planned logging roads on steep slopes where whole hillsides had slid down into the creek below after heavy winter rains. I do not believe that Oregon's Forest Practices Act is adequately protecting the riparian areas which results in degraded water quality for fish/wildlfe and drinking water.	1		Foresty - Roads; Forestry - Landslides	for				
329		CHIZON	3/20/14	62-A	Supports disapproval	1			101		1		
330				62-B	Concerned with logging impacts from pesticide/herbicide use and habitat "mistreatment". There should be no aerial spraying close to known drinking water sources.	1		Forestry - Pesticides					
331				62-C	Need more regular monitoring of drinking water for pesticides/herbicides; designated uses and water quality standards in coastal watersheds are not protected.	1		Monitoring - Improvements needed; Forestry - Pesticides					
332	(b) (6)	citizen	3/20/14	62-D	There should be larger buffers to protect from temperature impacts, particularly in the Siletz River watershed.	2		Forestry - Riparian	for				
333		citizen	2/26/14	63-A 63-B	Supports disapproval Concerned with logging impacts, particularly from clearcutting and resultant hillside erosion, which may pollute our drinking water spring. We had severe clearcutting around our private forest and this caused substantial loss of river quality.	1		Forestry - General; Forestry - landslides	for		1		

A B C D E F G H I Monitoring - Inadequate WQ monitoring of logging impacts 1 Improvements needed	_		
Inadequate WQ monitoring of logging impacts 1 Improvements needed	1		
ass lineeded lineeded			
[333]			
336 (b) (6) (6) Inadequate protection and restoration of riparian areas 1 Forestry-riparian			
337 63-E Disruption from tree harvests and road construction 1 Forestry-roads; clear cut			
76-A Concerned about pesticide spraying. They have tested positive for 1 First-hand account Forestry - Pesticides		1	
pesticide/herbicides even though they run an organic farm.		1	
76-B Would like to incorporate many other studies/reports by reference (included links 1 Forestry - Pesticides			
in letter) citizen 3/20/14 76-C Supports pesticide-free buffers around schools, such as near Triangle Lake. 2 Forestry - Pesticides			
	1		
Comments are limited to highlighting the inadequacy of OWRD's Water Use			
65-B Basin Program as support for meeting the 6217(g) agricultural management 1 Ag - General			
measures and conditions placed on Oregon's Coastal Nonpoint Program			
NOAA/EPA findings incorrectly state that OWRD's "Water Use Basin Program contemporary			
supports the irrigation measure by establishing sub-basin classifications and view on the Basin			
limits on water use to ensure water quality and habitat for sensitive and Plans, please see			
endangered species is not impaired." This statement is not supported by the OWRD's "Place-			
65-C contents of any of the coastal Basin Programs. (Attached for reference). To the Based Integrated Ag - General			
contrary, Oregon's Basin Programs do not ensure, either legally or practically, that Water Resources Ag - General			
water quality and habitat for sensitive and endangered species will not be Planning, Initial			
impaired. We urge EPA/NOAA to take a close look at the deficiencies of the Observations from			
Basin Programs before attributing any water quality or fish habitat protection the State of			
value to them as a measure in support of Oregon's agricultural conditions. Oregon" (March			
$\begin{vmatrix} 1 & 2 & 2 \\ 10, 2014 \end{vmatrix}$			
Oregon's rules provide no assurance that water use will be adequately limited to			
Ag - General Ag - General			
Basin Programs also fail in practice to protect minimum perennial streamflows			
65-E and instream rights held by OWRD for the protection of aquatic wildlife and water 2 Ag - General			
quality.			
EPA should disapprove Oregon's agricultural measures The lack of protection			
Water Watch of Water Watch of Water Use Basin Programs for preservation of aquatic life and 2,3 Ag - General			
designated uses should be acknowledged in the agencies' final determination			
	1		
Samon 1 1 1 1 0 0 0 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1		
Center, Oregon does not have a program in place to control nonpoint pollution sufficiently 67. P. to most the additional CZARA MM needed to attain/maintain was and protect.			
Northwest 67-B to meet the additional CZARA MM needed to attain/maintain wqs and protect 1 Forestry - Roads;			
Guides and designated uses, particularly due to logging on private lands. Forestry - Landslides			
Anglers 67-D Observed sediment loads from forest roads and landslides 1			
Association, 67-D State's own Ripstream study note inadequacy of buffers to control temperature and 1 Many aerial Forestry - Riparian			
Oregon other WQ impacts photos provided to photos provided to			
Chapter of Chapter of Additional MMs needed for foresty such as what is described on pg. 7-12 of Forestry - General			
the Sierra proposed findings.			
Club, Club, G7-F Used Salmonberry River in north Coast range as prime example of impacts. 2 Forestry - General			
Desiries OP's alaims the land was laves provide sufficient protection area if			
Pacific Refutes OR's claims the land use laws provide sufficient protection even if			
Refutes OR's claims the land use laws provide sufficient protection even if Rivers 67-G they've helped prevent sprawl, still need to control forest industry that is damaging 11 Forestry - General			

A	В	С	D	E	F	G	Н	I	J	K	L	М	N
354				69-A	Supports disapproval	1			for		1		
355				69-B	Waters are at risk from pesticides and other toxic chemicals, oil and grease, sediment, salts, excess bacteria and nutrients released from agricultural and timber lands, from roads and urban areas, from construction and mining areas, from eroding stream banks, livestock, and faulty septic systems.	1		General - Habitat protection					
356	Lane County Audobon Society of Oregon	organizatio	n 3/20/14	69-C	Especially concerned about inadequate buffer for aerial spray pesticide application. Oregon has an inadequately small no-spray buffer zone around fishbearing streams and no effective program to protect non-fish bearing streams.	2		Forestry - Pesticides; Forestry - Riparian					
357				70-A	Supports disapproval	1	Report is attached; many references cited				1		
358				70-B	Our comments address the inadequacies of Oregon's existing program to implement the required CZARA management measures, its inability and disinterest in evaluating the sufficiency of those management measures to ensure pesticides do not violate Oregon's water quality standards and impair its designated uses, its lack of a monitoring program to support such an evaluation, and its lack of practices that protect those designated uses.	1		General - Pesticides; General - Monitoring improvements needed					
359				70-C	Beyond Toxics report on pesticide/herbicide use in forestry shows that FPA lacks any program to protect Oregon streams and their beneficial uses (see report attached). Requires no pesticide buffer on non-fish streams even though neighboring states (WA, ID) require 25ft buffers. In non-fish bearing streams, amphibians and crawfish are affected by pesticide application	2		Forestry - General; Forestry - Pesticides; Forestry - Riparian					
360				70-D	Unknown risks from synergistic interactions of chemicals mixed together.	2,3		Forestry - Pesticides	=				
361				70-E	Oregon has inadequate protection of fish-bearing streams and drinking water compared to neighboring states.	3		Forestry - Pesticides; Forestry - Riparian					
362				70-F	Oregon has no program to determine the presence of forestry pesticides in the air and resulting in drift and deposition onto surface waters and soils.	3,4		Pesticides - Monitoring					
363				70-G	Herbicides (e.g., Atrazine) can persist in water and can bind with soil particles, so under OR's FPA, pesticides such as atrazine are sprayed into dry channels that become active in wetter months, carrying herbicides downstream to fish.	4		Forestry - Pesticides					
364				70-H	State doesn't have a program to protect groundwater/drinking water.	4		Pesticides - Monitoring					
365				70-I	The EPA should require ODF, in consultation with DEQ, to exercise their authority to review, comment, and require modifications of forest vegetation management written plans based on an environmental and water quality risk assessment and proof of compliance with state and federal laws.	4,5		General - Pesticides					
366				70-J	Oregon must develop a research program to determine if aerial application of herbicides is necessary for timber production. Oregon needs additional management measures to protect uses and water quality from pesticide drift.	5		Monitoring - Improvement needed; Forestry - Pesticides					
367				70-K	Oregon has no program to determine if federal label laws are being complied with.			Pesticides - Monitoring Pesticides -	-				
368	Beyond Toxics	organizatio	n 3/18/14	70-L	Evidence suggests that federal label restrictions for Atrazine, an Oregon-regulated herbicide, are not being followed. Also, poor record-keeping on pesticide applications	6		Monitoring/ Enforcement	for				

ПА	В	<u> </u>	D	F	F	G	Н	T I	ı	К	1	М	N
	_			77-A	Against disapproval. Believe Oregon's Forest Practices Act, and its implementing	1		General					
369					regulations, comply with CZARA requirements.					1			
				77-B	NOAA/EPA 1998 conditional approval findings and 2013 proposed finding that	1 2		Company					
270				//-B	asserts Oregons needs additional MMs for forestry failed to reference any WQS and included very sparse analysis as to why these MM were needed.	1, 2		General					
370					Original Findings and the Proposed Findings are both legally and scientifically								
371				77-C	deficient	2		General					
				77-D	CZARA statute requires a 3-step analysis for the states to take before additional MMs can be imposed, including: 1) identify land uses which may cause or contribute significantly to a degradation of: (A) those coastal waters where there is a failure to attain or maintain applicable water quality standards or protect designated uses, as determined by the State pursuant to its water quality planning processes; or (B) those coastal waters that are threatened by reasonably foreseeable increases in pollution loadings from new or expanding sources.	3, 4	This is a very significant comment for the legal team to address. 22-page letter is signed by Heath Curtiss, General Counsel & Director of Government Affairs, OFIC. CC to Gov. Kitzhaber, Richard Whitman & 2 state agency directors (DEQ & DOF)						
372				77-E	To overcome Oregon's determination that a particular land use does not contribute significantly to a degradation of water quality standards, the Agencies would need to produce evidence to the contrary. Likewise, to overcome Oregon's determination that additional management measures are not "necessary to achieve and maintain water quality standards," the burden would again be on the Agencies to produce evidence to the contrary. Oregon's Forest Practices Act establishes a dynamic program that responds	4		General - Legal; General - Problems with CZARA					
374				77-F	promptly and deliberately to environmental issues as they arise With respect to water quality, the Oregon Forest Practices Act (the "OFPA") mandates that the Board of Forestry adopt standards for forest practices that "provide for the overall maintenance" of "water resources, including but not limited to sources of domestic drinking water." ORS 527.710(2)(b). The OFPA also charges the Board of Forestry with establishing "best management practices and other rules applying to forest practices as necessary to insure that to the maximum extent practicable nonpoint source discharges of pollutants resulting from forest operations on forestlands do not impair the achievement and maintenance of water quality standards established by the Environmental Quality Commission." ORS 527.765(1). Note that this language hews closely to the CZARA requirement that the CNPCP include additional management measures necessary to "attain or maintain applicable water quality standards." Forest Practice Rules are fully enforceable.			Forestry - General; Forestry - Legal					

А	В	С	D	E	F	G	Н	I	J	K	L	М	N
375				77-G	FPA requires BMP monitoring with adaptive feedback. Board has charged ODF with pesticide use monitoring, OAR 629-620-0700(1), and landslides and public safety monitoring. OAR 629-623-0000(4). In each circumstance, the Board will consider the monitoring results and take appropriate action, including when necessary, development of new forest practice rules. Cites example of 2002 road runoff drainage study that led to improved rules. FP Rules have evolved over time.	5, 6	See also App. A for how FP Rules have evolved over time.	Forestry - General; Forestry - Legal					
376				77-H	NOAA/EPA findings that that Oregon's existing measures for protection of medium and small fish bearing streams (type-F) and non-fish bearing streams (type-N) are not adequate to protect water quality and designated uses relies on an uncritical view of the 15-year-old Ripstream IMST, and 12 year-old Sufficiency Analysis, and fails to consider the most current and relevant research. At best, it is an incomplete and inaccurate assessment of the most recent science findings. At worst, it represents a fundamental misunderstanding of the science.	7	Discussion of other research findings continues on p. 8 and following	Forestry - Riparian					
377	_			77-I	NOAA/EPA misinerpreted the RipStream Study findings. See different RipStream conclusions on p. 8.	8		Forestry - Riparian					
378				77-I	The lack of any discussion about findings from the Watersheds Research Cooperative (the "WRC") represents a huge omission in the Agencies' analysis of the Oregon CNPCP. In the Sufficiency Analysis (ODF and ODEQ 2002) there is a discussion about the adequacy of riparian buffers along small type-N and small and medium type-F streams.		Effects on temperature noted in WRC study are discussed on pp. 10-11. WQ & wood recruitment discussed on pp. 12-13.	Forestry - Riparian					
379				77-J	We disagree that the FPA is not protective of high-risk landslide prone areas. in evaluating the results from Turner et. al. (2010), it is misleading to focus only on landslide density relationships. Rather, it is important to also consider the total number of landslides triggered during major storms. While landslide densities have been shown to be higher in steep terrain with young forest stands, the proportion of this area across mountainous terrain is potentially very low, so that potential increases in sediment delivery to public resources from landslides triggered in these areas is also proportionately small Channel alterations from debris flows are a naturalhabitat-forming process and not necessarily negative.	14, 15, 16		Forestry - Landslide	es				
380				77-K	EPA argues that Oregon must have additional management measures for forestry to protect HLHLs, to maintain good water quality, and to ensure that designated uses are protected. However, EPA does not offer any objective evidence that these additional measures are necessary. We respectfully suggest that EPA consider a landscape-scale view over long timeframes as the proper context for evaluating whether water quality standards and designated uses are impaired or attained. Disturbance and recovery processes are an essential part of these landscape-driven forest ecosystems.	16, 17		Forestry - Landslide	es				

	А	В	С	D	E	F	G	H I	J	K	L	М	N
381					77-L	From a strictly legal perspective, the Agencies have produced no evidence (much less, substantial evidence), that landslides resulting from forest management activities are causing water quality standard exceedances, or negatively impacting aquatic life more than landslides do under background conditions. Without more, a decision to disapprove Oregon's CNPCP would not withstand judicial review.	17	Forestry - Landslides					_
382					77-M	Roads: The Agencies "remain concerned" (about forest roads delivering sediment into streams) without citing a single source indicating a problem exists, without citing any water quality standard or beneficial use the rules fail to protect, indeed without citing a single reason for concern.	17	Forestry - Roads; Forestry - Legal					
383					77-N	Roads: There have been significant new rule revisions in 2002 and 2003, and broad success under the Oregon Plan for Salmon and Watersheds, all detailed thoroughly in the State's July submission to the Agencies.	17	Forestry - Roads					
384					77-O	The agencies allege that the state has not provided "a commitment to exercise its back-up authority to require implementation of additional management measures for forestry roads, as needed." This is ludicrous. The rule revisions in 2002 and 2003 indicate that the OFPA is working precisely as it should, and evidence a continuing commitment by the Board of Forestry to implement additional management measures as needed. One would be hard-pressed to imagine better evidence of the Board's commitment. If there were additional data indicating that forest roads continue to "cause or contribute significantly to a degradation of coastal waters"—an issue ODF is actively monitoring under OAR 629-635-0110—then the Board would initiate a new rulemaking, as it has done repeatedly in the past.	17	Forestry - Roads					
385					77-P	The Agencies also assert that the State has not provided sufficient data to the Agencies to document effectiveness of voluntary efforts under the Oregon Plan. The Agencies suggest that an extensive (and expensive) inventory and reporting program for forest roads is necessary "to determine the extent of forestry road miles not meeting current road standards within the nonpoint management area." Here, the Agencies presume a problem exists (again, without citation to a single source) until the State can prove otherwise. However, nothing in CZARA requires that a state prove a negative. Additionally, data shows that salmon stocks are recovering since the 1990s. Finally, we are not aware of any scientific evidence indicating that habitat and water quality conditions have materially improved in Washington State due to implementation of their road maintenance and abandonment program	18	Forestry - Roads					
386					77-Q	Alleging that Oregon's rules are insufficient without reason, and without any support, is the definition of arbitrary, and a disapproval action on this basis would not survive even cursory judicial scrutiny.	19	Forestry - Roads; Forestry - Legal					

	АВ	С	D	E	F	G	Н	I	J	К	L	М	N
387				77-R	Water quality monitoring of a type-N (non-fish bearing) forest stream during and after herbicide spray operations (applied under OFPA rules and guidelines and FIFRA/labeling regulations) shows no evidence of detrimental impacts. Nevertheless, Oregon continues to support monitoring that would identify potential problems should they arise Recent monitoring has not found a problem with contemporary forest aerial herbicide spray operations; in fact just the opposite. Oregon is currently monitoring for over 100 pesticides, which will allow the state to respond should herbicides be identified at unacceptable levels.	19, 21	Research supporting OFIC/OSWA comments presented on pp. 20-21.	Forestry - Pesticides					
388				77-S	Since 1998 there have been significant changes in how chemicals are applied to forests under FIFRA. Findings from the Spray Drift Task Force and other research led to revisions in chemical labeling. Pesticide applicators are licensed under FIFRA and recent court rulings have further increased regulation of applicators and land owners. Oregon's Forest Practices Act rule guidelines state that applications must comply with the most stringent of requirements of either the label, or forest practice rules and guidelines.	19		Forestry - Pesticides					
389	Oregon Fore Industries an Oregon Sma Woodlands Association	d 1	n 3/20/14	77-T	ODF has developed extensive guidelines for implementing the Oregon Forest Practices Act rules for herbicide applications to forest lands. See Oregon Department of Forestry, Forest Practice Rule Guidance: Chemicals and Other Petroleum Products (2009), available at http://goo.gl/uv8oIH. Also cite pesticide monitoring studies that show no significant impact.	19		Forestry - Pesticides	Against				
390				78-A	Agree with NOAA/EPA that state needs to do more to address osds, new devel, and ag but does not support penalities because they will impact important proenvironment programs. Rather hopes state will make improvements to programs to avoid disapproval.	1		Decision	Unclear (ag	ainst pen	alities)	1	
				- 6 -	Saw a draft of guidance to urban DMAs regarding post-construction stormwater management, and we believe it will be a helpful document. However, DEQ has not demonstrated that it has the ability to educate DMAs or ensure that the guidance is implemented. DEQ's basin coordinators are spread too thin and the agency lacks the capacity and perhaps the expertise to provide technical assistance to urban								
391				78-B	DMAs to ensure that TMDLs are implemented. We believe Oregon should require urban DMAs to adopt specific post- construction stormwater management strategies similar to those required in Phase II MS4 permits, rather than only recommending that they do	2		New Development New Development					
				78-D	DEQ has no way of measuring whether the voluntary OSDS program results in an increase in onsite system inspections. We still think there is a need for regular inspections of existing septic systems, whether it takes place at the time of	2		OSDS					
393				78-D	property transfer or at a different time. We would like to see Oregon DEQ take a more proactive role in establishing similar programs in areas where septic systems are impacting water quality – increasing onsite system inspections as well as financing repairs, and measuring the program's effectiveness	2		OSDS					
J 2 4				7 U-L	the program is effectiveness		'	משמט	1				

А	В	С	D	E	F	G	Н І Ј	K L M	N
395				78-F	Oregon is currently failing to protect water quality standards and beneficial uses in agricultural areas in our coastal watersheds, including habitat necessary to the survival of native fish and to support both recreational and commercial fisheries. This is due to the failure of the state's agricultural water quality program to control run-off pollution from riparian areas and to control erosion and sediment from agricultural lands on fish bearing streams.	3	Ag general; Ag- buffers		
396				78-G 78-J	It is publicly acknowledged by Oregon Department of Agriculture (ODA) and Oregon Department of Environmental Quality (DEQ) staff that 100% landowner compliance with current agricultural water quality management area rules alone is not sufficient to meet Water Quality Standards, including TMDL Load Allocations. No restoration of rip. vegetation is required by AWQA rules. ODA's Water Quality Management Program does not ensure landowner compliance with the admittedly insufficient rules. Until recently, compliance with the area rules was only investigated if a signed complaint was lodged.	3	Ag general; Ag- buffers		
398				78-H	ODA has recently developed a new strategy for its water quality program to determine compliance with the rules. This is an important step forward. However, there is still a serious scale problem with the program's ability to ensure compliance with the rules. Under ODA's current plan to assess agricultural landowner compliance with the area rules by 6th field HUC watershed, it can assess compliance in 6-12 6th field HUCs/biennium. At this rate, ODA will be able to assess compliance with its (insufficient) rules in approximately 1500 6th field HUC watersheds containing agricultural land uses statewide in 250 years. This is not a reasonable timeframe to ensure compliance with the rules.		Ag - general		
399	Oregon Environmental Council	organizatio	n 3/20/14	78-I	ODA plans to rely on voluntary actions by landowners described in its unenforceable Area Plans to bridge this performance gap between the rules and meeting water quality standards. However, ODA does not have an implementation plan to ensure these voluntary actions occur. Oregon has not quantified the level of additional landowner actions, or their nature, necessary to bridge this gap between compliance with the rules and achieving TMDL Load Allocations.	4	Ag - general		
400	Oregon Environmental Council			78-k	Oregon is not reliably or adequately controlling run-off pollution from agricultural lands due to agency reliance on insufficient rules, inadequate enforcement of the rules and lock of an implementation plan with specific timelines and goals to enlist agricultural landowners in the voluntary actions necessary to protect and restore riparian vegetation, prevent erosion and reduce bacteria run-off into local creeks and rivers.				
401				71-A	The AWQMP (and AWQMA Rules) meets and exceeds the federal statutory and regulatory requirements of CZARA	2, 11, 12, 13, 14	Ag - General; Ag MMs (pp. 11-14); Ag - Pesticides (p.13)	1	
402				71-B	Agriculture land use represents approximately 5% of the land uses within the coastal zone. The primary agricultural land use within the coastal zone is pasture/hay agriculture, not crop land, which minimizes WQ impacts.	2	Ag - General		

А	В	С	D	E	F	G	Н І	J	K	L	М	N
403				71-C	Most, if not all, agriculture landowners are in compliance with the AWQMP rules and, by complying with these rules, meet or exceed CZARA requirements applicable to agriculture. And, as explained below, for any agriculture landowners that are not in compliance with the AWQMP, the State has a process in place to achieve compliance with voluntary and regulatory programs.	2	Ag - General					
404				71-D	CZARA only requires implementation of economically achievable MMs ("economically achievable measures for the control of the addition of pollutants from existing and new categories and classes of nonpoint sources of pollution, which reflect the greatest degree of pollutant reduction achievable through the application of the best available nonpoint pollution control practices, technologies, processes, siting criteria, operating methods, or other alternatives.")	3	General					
405				71-E	Notes the same arguments as OFIC RE: CCAs/add MM are developed by specific state-driven process. OR has not designated critical coastal areas or identified new agriculture land uses or a substantial expansion of existing agriculture land uses that require additional management measures. Therefore, additional management measures for agriculture are unnecessary for CNPCP approval.	3,4	Add'l MMs not needed					
406				71-F	NOAA/EPA don't provide scientific data or substantial evidence that identifies agriculture land uses as a cause or significant contributor to water quality impairment in Oregon's coastal streams. There is no sound scientific evidence to demonstrate that agriculture lands within the coastal zone in fact cause or significantly contributing to water quality degradation. ODA is required to regulate, based on science, those agriculture activities that are causing the type of water pollution that prohibits the State from achieving and maintaining water quality standards.	4						
407					As explained in Section III, ODA has the enforcement authority necessary to ensure compliance with watershed basin rules on the coast and throughout the State of Oregon. While opponents of the AWQMP highlight the fact that ODA has only taken a few enforcement actions, implying that ODA is not requiring compliance, nothing could be farther from the truth. The truth is that ODA works directly with land owners in noncompliance to make certain land use changes before enforcement is necessary.	5	Ag - EP&Ms					
408				71-H	Nowhere does CZARA or Section 6217(g) unconditionally require: (1) riparian buffers on agriculture land, (2) that landowners undertake efforts to restore lands to pre -agricultural uses and methods (removing agriculture from the land), (3) management measures that will not result in a reduction of nonpoint source pollution, (4) new or ad hoc water quality standards for pesticides, sediment, or any other listed pollutants, or (5) landowners to change land uses, implement management measures, or otherwise employ management measures that are not "economically achievable."	6	Ag - General; Ag - buffers; Ag - Pesticides; Ag - Add'l MMs					
409				71-I	Only after the State identifies land uses that cause or significantly contribute to water quality impairments, the state must then implement additional management measures if necessary to achieve and maintain applicable water quality standards. For the reasons explained below, Oregon's AWQMP meets and implements the 6217(g) requirements and has a process in place to implement additional management measures if necessary.	7	Ag - Add'l MMs (not needed)					

	Α	В	С	D	Е	F	G	н і	J	K	L	М	N
410					71-J	6217(g) "offer[s] State officials a number of options and permit them considerable flexibility in selecting management measures that are appropriate for their State" 20 Further, the 6217(g) guidance suggests management measures but these are written to allow flexibility in implementation. 21 Contrary to claims by critics of the Oregon AWQMP, this means that EPA and NOAA can and must approve state programs that address water quality impairments from certain land uses even where they do not employ the precise management measures outlined in the 6217(g) guidance.	7	General - Holding to higher standard; General - Problems with CZARA					
411					71-K	In areas where an area plan and rules are required, ODA may compel a landowner "to perform those actions on the landowner's land necessary to prevent and control water pollution from agriculture activities" so long as the practice is a factor in causing water quality standards to be exceeded." This provides ODA the authority to require management measures that meet the requirements of 6217(g) or impose additional management measures if necessary.	8	Ag - EP&Ms					
412					71-L	Using the process of identifying agriculture practices that do in fact contribute to water quality problems and investing in management measures proven to reduce or mitigate pollutant loadings, as well as measures that are achievable because of cost and technology, the State can more efficiently allocate resources for the betterment of coastal waterways. This is precisely the outcome envisioned by the sponsors of the CZARA and is consistent with the statutory language.	8	Ag - General					
413					71-M	The proposed agencies' finding references the coho salmon listings and draft recovery plan findings. These documents' references to agriculture impacts to water quality are limited, based on opinion, anecdotal evidence and are also unsupported by scientific fact or data. For that reason, we request that the agencies remove this assumption or clearly explain that it is a concern that has not been verified with data or science, and therefor may not be a valid concern.	9	Ag - General					
414					71-N	Oregon has developed water quality standards designed to protect designated uses, which in most cases include coho salmon and other endangered/threatened fish species. As referenced above, Oregon's AWQMA is designed to ensure agriculture activities do not inhibit the State from meeting those water quality standards. Water quality standards are required to protect designated uses, fish. Therefore, Oregon's program adequately addresses agriculture activities to ensure the protection of fish species, including coho salmon.	9	Ag - General					
415					71-O	Most ambient water quality monitoring in region reporting fair to excellent water quality. Sites with poor condition are not due to ag activities.	9	Ag - General					
416					71-P	The AWQMP Processes and Enforcement Mechanisms Satisfies CZARA and the 6217(g) Management Measures Area Plans consist of voluntary measures and strategic goals; area rules implement the Area Plans and are ODA's backstop authority to ensure compliance with the AWQMA Today, each of Oregon's coastal agriculture water quality plans include management measures that directly reference the 6217(g) guidance and include additional goals for improving watersheds. These plans far exceed that which is required under CZARA.	10	Ag - EP&Ms					

	A	В	С	D	E	F	G	Н І	J	K	L	М	N
417					71-Q	While it is true that each state must have an enforceable, nonpoint source water pollution program, it is not true that individual states must meet or exceed an enforcement threshold or number of citations issued. Instead, CZARA requires that the State and its designated water quality agencies possess the regulatory authority to enforce, at a minimum, a water quality program that meet or exceed the requirements set forth in 16 U.S.C. 1455b. Furthermore, as ODA demonstrated to the agencies in Oregon's July 2013 CNPCP submission, it has used that authority to enforce AWQMP rules where necessary and appropriate. Refutes concern noted that AWQMP do not require buffers or otherh specific	14,1	Ag - General (Enforcement)					
418					71-R	requirments. Notes that CZARA does not specifically require riparian buffers for ag and doing so, would be taking a "one-size-fits-all" approach that goes against the inherant flexibility CZARA provides states.	15	General - One-size- fits-all; Ag - General					
419		Oregon Farm ureau, Oregon			71-S	Biennial reviews of AWQMA plans provide a tracking mechanism. According to ODA, ~18 biennial reviews are conducted annually. In addition ODA is currently creating a more formalized process for tracking program implementation and effectiveness – known as the Strategic Implementation Areas and Focus Areas processes. Also, in 2012, Oregon began an Enterprise Monitoring Initiative to maximize statewide efforts for environmental protection and restoration. This initiative will monitor waterways that pass through agriculture lands and can also be used to inform the effectiveness of the AWQMA.	16	Ag - General (tracking)					
420	Foo C C C	Cattlemen's Association, Pregonians for od and Shelter, Oregon Seed Commission, Oregon Dairy Farmers Association, Oregon Wheat cowers League	organization	3/20/14	71-T	NOAA/EPA assert: AWQMA planning and enforcement does not address "legacy" issues created by agriculture activities that are no longer occurring. Yet, neither CZARA nor the 6217(g) guidance define legacy issues or require that state CNPCPs address legacy issues. Nevertheless, OWEB invests \$ to address legacy ag issues. Furthermore, Oregon has developed processes for identifying opportunities to enhance and restore watersheds, including "legacy" issues, through the Oregon Plan for Salmon and Watersheds, the Oregon Aquatic Habitat Restoration and Enhancement Guide, OWEB riparian restoration projects, Area Plans, and many other federal, public and private partnerships. These programs are successful due to the voluntary efforts of many Oregon agriculture landowners.	17	Ag - Legacy	unkn				
421					72-A	Member of the Upper Willamette & Upper Siuslaw Agricultural Water Quality Management Area Local Advisory Committees. Met annually since then with our state and local officials, the Oregon Department of Agriculture, the Department of Environmental Quality(DEQ), and East Lane (county) Soil and Water Conservation District to be advised on the current status of the management plan. The committee was instructed that our plan would be complaint driven, and compliance voluntary. I have been informed that three fines have been imposed over the last 11 years. We were also told we were not allowed to consider pesticides as a pollutant. The state still does not consider pesticides as pollutants, but considers streamside plantings to be sufficient to filter anything including pesticides. I am told they do not test the water for pesticides.	1	Ag - General; Ag - Pesticides				1	

	^	P		D				1.1	т	, ,	_V		N 4	N.I
	Α	R	C	D	<u> </u>	EPA & NOAA have found that Oregon forests have adequate stream buffers for	G	Н	1	J	K	L	IVI	IN
						•								
					72-B	pesticides on salmon bearing streams. How was this determined? Seasonal and	1		Forestry - Pesticides;					
					/2-B	non-fish bearing streams have not been considered. Isn't this the water that feeds	1		Forestry - Riparian					
		(b) (6)		0/00/14		the fish-bearing streams and rivers? Stream buffers and logging practices in this			• •	,				
422			citizen	3/20/14		state are a jokea sad joke.				unkn				
						Use data to uniformly establish, prioritize, and track programmatic progress								
						towards water quality goals. Need better effectiveness monitoring to be able to								
						make adapative changes as needed to voluntary and other programs. Cites ag, in								
						particular. Need better science to inform implementation targets and determine	1, 2,		Monitoring -					
					73-A	how well programs are working. (Ex. TFT's recent use of LiDAR to determine	3		improvements					
						ability of buffers to produce adequate shade). Moving forward with new Ag regs	5		needed; Ag - General					
						without first understanding the gap between the problem and current conditions								
						and without data-based benchmarks for chipping away at the problem will only								
423						perpetuate issues moving forward.				against	1			
						Focus on outcomes and support the tools that achieve progress on the ground. The								
					73-B	loss of approximately \$4 million per year in funding for on-the-ground restoration	3		Penalties - negative					
424						runs wholly counter to what all agree is needed on the ground.			impacts					
						NWEA's claim that CZARA needs to be achieving WQS now is not correct.								
					73-C		4, 5		General					
425					75-0	controls, but instead contemplate future actions.	7, 5		General					
423														
						Requests that NOAA/EPA include TFT's 4/22/13 response to NWEA's March 13,								
					72 D	2013 to EPA Regarding Medford Permits to record. TFT's letter corrects factual			General - Public					
					73-D	and legal inaccuracies in NWEA's letter. Also should include TFT's 9/27/13 public	5, 6		comment					
		The Fresh Water		- 1-0111		comments to Oregon DEQ on Wilsonville's now-withdrawn water quality trading								
426			organization	3/20/14		program as section III(C)(4)(d) of the Proposed Finding.								
		Tillamook Bay												
		Watershed				Need to review and add comments								
427		Council												
428					75-A	Suport disapproval (relunctantly)	1			for		1		
						Ecological function of the Oregon Coast Range and Cascade Range Foothills has								
					75-B	been and continues to be severely degraded by the harvest activities associated	1		Forestry - Clear cuts					
					73 - D	with industrial, clear-cut logging. Look in any direction and clear cuts abound.	1		Polestry - Clear cuts					
429						(Up to 120 acres are allowed by the OFPA!)								
									Forestry - Riparian;					
					75.0		1		Forestry - Clear					
					75-C	Concerned about lack of riparian buffers in clear cuts and spraying.	1		Cuts; Forestry -					
430									Pesticides					
						Inspected recent road failure: The down hill shoulder of this mid-slope sited road								
						had broken away in several locations, due to fill slope failure. Mud and debris								
						flows, some recent, were much in evidence, their effect on the watershed some								
						two or three hundred feet below, clearly discernible. This phenomenon, obviously			Forestry - Clear cuts;					
					75-D	the result of heavy rain fall on deforested and very steep slopes, has repeated itself	2		Forestry Landslides;					
						with regularity over the years I have been roaming these hills. It is a disgrace and			Forestry - Roads					
42.5						impacts directly on water quality. The cost to repair the failure will be borne by								
431						U.S. taxpayers through BLM & FHA.								

Δ	R	· ·	D	F	F	G	Н І	1	K	1 1	М	N
432				75-E	Notes changes in tax law favor private timber industry and don't recoop enough \$ to help local govn't. Amounts to shameless taxpayer-funded PR propaganda for timber interests. Illustration of "deliberate lack of political will to fund the appropriate agencies and activities that are crucial to improving Oregon's degraded water quality.	2	Forestry - General			-		.,
433				75-F	Points out that "NOAA noted in its fairly recent opinion about potential ESA delisting of the Coastal Coho Salmon, the benefits of such riparian restorations, although worthwhile, were being rapidly outstripped by the effects of logging in the uplands. Nothing has changed."	3	Forestry - General; Forestry - Riparian					
434	Umpqua Watersheds, Inc.	organization	n 3/20/14	75-G	Recognizes that disapproval will have financial consequences for 319 that their organization and others benefit from but its time for state to do something.	3	Forestry - General; Penalties - Benefits					
435				81-A	Notes that farmers and ranchers have installed many miles or piping for livestock watering, and many miles of streambank are planted and fenced	1	Ag-general; Ag- buffers; Existing programs Ag-general; Ag-	Against	1			
436	-			81-B	Pesticide Stewardship Programs, CAFO, and AQWMP already in place.	1	sufficient pesticides; General-made improvements in					
437				81-C	SWCDs and watershed councils are improving water quality in Oregon. Oregon complies with CZARA and disapproval would make it difficult to	1	water quality					
438	(b) (6)	citizen	3/17/14	81-D	improve environment.	1	Decision					
439				79-A 79-B	Disagrees with proposed decision. Additional MMs for forestry are not needed. Supports OFIC letter and statements they make OFPA includes a specific mandate to the Board of Forestry to achieve and maintain water quality standards, and provides the Oregon Department of Forestry with enforcement authority. The EPA and NOAA have produced little meaningful evidence that Oregon's forest practices rules currently fail to meet these water quality and beneficial use objectives. To the contrary, there is a large	1 1	Additional MMs No Needed Forestry General	t Against	1			
441				79-C	body of science indicating that modern Oregon forest practices are either neutral to positive in terms of their effect on aquatic life	2	Forestry General					
442					Oregon's forest sector has a 15-plus year history of superior voluntary riparian watershed enhancement accomplishments. Restrictions/actions proposed by the EPA and NOAA would stifle these valuable watershed improvements. Additionally, the excessive restrictions envisioned by EPA and NOAA would unintentionally smother the willing cooperative stewardship ethic common in the forest sector.	2	Forestry - Riparian					
443	Associated Oregon Loggers, Inc.	organizatio n	3/21/14		EPA and NOAA's intended rigid, regulatory norms—such as excessive one-size-fits-all singular distances—would stifle Oregon forest community's stewardship ethic, and thereby reduce/or end the valuable contemporary investments in watershed enhancement experienced on Oregon forestlands (since the 1998 advent of the Oregon Plan for Salmon & Watersheds)	3	General - one-size-					
444	IIIC.	11	5/21/14		Oregon's existing land use planning system put in place by the 1973 Oregon Legislature as Senate Bill 100 is an effective nonpoint source pollution reduction program, and the State should be given credit for its success. It limits new development in urban growth boundaries where sewer and stormwater services are planned for.	1	General-made improvements to Land Use water quality	unclear			1	

А	В	С	D	F	F	G	Н	I	J	К	L	М	N
					The first of three concerns is DEQ's proposed New Development Guidance for			•		, · · ·	-	141	
					Urban and Rural Residential Areas. DEQ proposal to require all identified								
					Designated Management Agencies (DMAs) to develop a more rigorous								
					stormwater control program than is currently required of existing MS4								
					Phase II permittees (e.g., Corvallis, Bend, Medford) is not realistic or workable.								
					The Coastal Zone listed communities, many of which are very small with								
					extremely limited resources, cannot be expected to implement stormwater retrofit,								
445				80-B	hydromodification, and riparian protection/restoration programs.	2	2	New Devel					
					DEQ should consider expanding the coverage of the existing 1200C permit by								
					lowering the acreage applicability, or using a similar approach as used in the								
					1200COLS permit. The 1200COLS permit was created to tackle water quality								
					problems in the Columbia Slough and is a global discharge permit based on the								
					1200Z industrial permits and applied to all significant dischargers evaluated in the		expand existing						
446				80-C	TMDL process.	2	permit coverage	New Devel					
					For sediment problems, DEQ should consider increased technical assistance and		expand existing						
447				80-D	compliance and enforcement of the 1200Z industrial permits.	2	permit coverage	New Devel					
					DEQ should use its existing authority, expertise and permits more effectively								
					instead of establishing a new regulatory requirement on small cities and counties								
					that are not the main source of impairment, do not have the expertise, and cannot								
448				80-E	afford additional state-mandated programs.	2	2	New Devel					
					The second of three concerns for NPS controls in Oregon's coastal zone is the								
					need for improved compliance programs and metrics to monitor agricultural								
					sources. An overall compliance strategy for ensuring that AWQM plans and rules								
					are adequately implemented to effectively meet TMDL load allocations and water								
					quality standards is needed. There must be a policy and process for proactive								
					determination of the implementation of required elements of the Agriculture		Ag compliance						
					Water Quality Management Plan, and an enforcement response plan to correct		and						
449				80-F	instances of non-compliance.	3	implementation	Ag-general					
					Oregon Department of Agriculture and Oregon DEQ's water quality monitoring			Ag-general;					
					programs should be specifically designed to evaluate the effectiveness of the			Monitoring-					
					agricultural area plans in meeting water quality standards and load allocations for			improvements					
450				80-G	water bodies with TMDLs	_	Ag monitoring	needed					
					The SB 1010 process at the Department of Agriculture should be directly linked to		Ag						
451				80-H		3	implementation	Ag-general					
					SB 1010 requirements stop short of addressing 'legacy' conditions related to								
					agricultural activities, and do not require active restoration only removal of								
					conditions that impairs		Ag	Ag-general; general	-				
				00.7	restoration. These policy gaps must be addressed if Oregon is to meet its water		implementation	need to consider					
452	-			80-I	quality standards.	3	for legacy	other issues					
					The third of three concerns is the continued offerts to link the Oregon Estate								
					The third of three concerns is the continued efforts to link the Oregon Forest								
					Practices Act to water quality standards outcomes. They applaud the recent		Pro-FPA						
	0.000				collaboration between the Oregon EQC and BOF to improvement communication and share data related to water quality compliance of the Oregon FPA and to		compliance with						
452	Oregon			80-J	understand how FPA can be used as a tool to meet Oregon WQS.	,	-	Forestry general					
453	Association of			90-J	understand now TTA can be used as a tool to meet Oregon wQs.		4 WQS	Forestry-general					

Δ	R	C	D	F	F	G	Т	ī	1 1	K	1 1	М	N
	Clean Water				'	- 0	- 11	Forestry-general;	,	K		141	114
	Agencies, Legaue							Monitoring-					
	of Oregon Cities,				Efforts by ODF to monitor and improve forest practices should be encouraged and	ı		improvements					
454	Special Districts			80-K	continued.		4	needed					
	Association of				Additional efforts are needed to address legacy road conditions and protection of			Forestry-roads;					
455		organization	3/21/14	80-L	non-fish bearing streams in oregon's forests.		4	Forestry-riparian					
	3.1811	8			Asks NOAA/EPA to give state additional time to meet remaining conditions; state	;		General-need more					
456				82-A	has already made good progress in meeting most of conditions.		1	time		1			
					7 6 1 6	1		Forestry-general;					
					Notes ODF has been doing good work to improve WQ, riparian habitat, and road	anc	1	Forestry-riparian;					
457				82-B	improvements. Cites # of culverts replaced and other stats.	2		Forestry-roads					
							more returning	<u> </u>					
					Cites ODFW study that showed many out-migrating and returning salmon to		salmon in						
458				82-C	Tillamook State forest land. OR allows salmon harvest because #s are good.		2 Tillamook forest	General-salmon;					
								Forestry-general;					
					Asks NOAA/EPA to review Trask Study re: forestry practices and water quality			General-water					
459				82-D	that presents factual science. Our decision should be based on science.		2	quality					
								General-salmon;					
					Notes they have been part of group of federal, state, county and private citizen			General made					
					group that's been working to collaborative restore fish pass in Tillamook area.			improvements in					
460				82-E	Taking a novel approach and having good success.		2	water quality					
					Understand and appreciate NOAA/EPA efforts to comply with the law but ask			1 3					
	Tillamook Board				that agencies work with them and others in collaborate way to address issues			Penalties-negative					
461	of Commissions	organization	3/21/14	82-F	rather than take punitive action.		3	impacts; Decision	Against				
								Toxics/Pesticides;					
462				50-A	Water shortages and toxins are big concerns as we enter "climate chaos".		1	climate change					
					Very concerned about pesticide spraying on private forestsimpacts humans,								
463	(b) (6)	citizen	3/20/14	50-B	animals and organic farming.		1	Forestry-pesticides	Unclear			1	
					Support disapproval. There has been little progress on the development of Best								
464				83-A	Management Practices in order to meet the requirements of the CZARA.		1	Decision-benefit			1		
					Oregon does not have a program in place to deal with nonpoint source pollution in	1							
					its coastal watersheds that is sufficient to carry out the CZARA management								
465				83-B	measures		1	General					
								Salmon-need more					
								protection; General-	-				
					Water quality standards in coastal watersheds fail to protect Oregon's native fishes	S		fails to meet					
466				83-C	including; Coho and Chinook salmon, Cutthroat, Summer and Winter Steelhead.		1 WQS	WQS/uses					
					DEQ is not protecting our waters sufficiently to ensure our fish are free from toxic	;							
					contamination, and that our rivers are not protected enough so we can swim in all		Toxics affecting	Salmon-need more					
467				83-D	of our watersheds		1 fish	protection					
					ODF and ODA's pesticide use programs fail to control polluted runoff from			Ag-pesticides; Ag-					
468				83-E	logging, in Type N streams, and cattle operations.	1	1	buffers; Ag-general					
469				83-F	Riparian buffers are insufficient to protect water quality.		1	Ag-buffers					
								Ag-general; general	-				
								need to improve					
470				83-G	SB1010s are inadequate to protect water quality or improve habitat conditions.		1	water quality					

	, 1	n		<u> </u>			<u>. </u>	11	, I	1	V	<u> </u>	N 4	NI I
	А	В	C	D	E	F .	G	Н	forestry-riparian;	J	K	L	IVI	N
						The logging of unstable slopes and Type N stream created polluted runoff and the			forestry-landslides;					
474					83-H	The logging of unstable slopes and Type N stream created polluted runoff and the	1		•					
471				-	83-П	existing logging road network is also source of sediment.	1		forestry-roads					
					02.1	Old A NDG	1		OSDS; forestry-					
472				-	83-I	Older septic systems create NPS.	1		general					
									General-voluntary					
									approaches; General-					
						Voluntary efforts to protect water quality and habitat have been dwarfed by the			need to improve					
473					83-J	lack of rules to protect water quality.	2		water quality					
						No rules in place to protect ecological function and processes on industrial timber			Forestry-general; Ag-					
474					83-K	or agricultural lands	2		general					
									Forestry-buffers; Ag-					
									buffers; General-					
						Do not believe that Oregon has in place a program to adequately protect riparian			water quality;					
						zones that are critical to maintaining cold clean water essential to the recovery and			Salmon-need more					
475					83-L	health of our native aquatic species	2		protection					
									General-need to					
						Watershed council completed a herbicide monitoring program found runoff from			improve water					
						all sources of applications – road side use, and agricultural and forestry operation.			quality; forestry-					
		Audubon Society	organizatio			While they may have applied it correctly there was still run-off and the rules were			pesticides; ag-					
476		of Portland	n	3/19/14	83-M		2		pesticides					
						OAN worked to develop AWQMA and plans and believes ODA/DEQ are			1					
						coordinating well to ensure continued integrity of the AWQMP and the resultant								
						Area Plans which provide the state with the tools and an inherent adaptive								
477					84-A	approach to properly address non-point source pollution.	2.5	SB1010 works	Ag-general		1			
17.7					0111	Believes the state has 1) programs in place to meet ag conditions, and 2) ensures	2 2	SB1010 WOIRS	rig general		1			
478					84-B	wqs/uses are being met.	3		Ag-general					
470					0 T D	25% of CNP is ag land, but less than 1% is in use other than pasture or hay.	3		rig general					
						Therefore, there is little opportunity for soil disturbance or nutrient loading from								
470					84 C	traditional row crop fertilizers.	3		Ag-general					
479				-	04-C	Under the AWQMP, ODA implements site-specific and site-capable controls to	3		Ag-general					
						both resolve existing sources and prevent future opportunities for pollution. Such								
400					84-D	an approach is reflected in the Area Plans today	2		Ag-general					
480					04-レ	all approach is reflected in the Area I falls today	3	77 A D A	Ag-general					
								CZARA approval						
								relies not on						
								specific measures,						
						The focus of CZARA is not the use of specific measures identified in the 6217(g)		out design and						
						guidance, but rather the design and implementation of appropriate measures –		mplementation of	G 1					
						regardless of form - that can be developed and applied to ultimately achieve		appropriate	General-problems					
481					84-E	measurable beneficial results.	3 n	neasures	with CZARA					
						Congress specifically required that such measures could only be implemented so								
						long as they are "economically achievable." Together, these two components								
						materially define the management measures to be implemented in the Area Plans-								
						a fact also recognized by EPA. "the CNCPs must provide for implementation of			General-problems					
482					84-F	these measures or alternative management measures"	4 (CZARA guidance	with CZARA					

	А	В	С	D	E	F	G	Н	I I	J	K	L	М	N
						NOAA/EPA didn't provide any proof for allegation that water quality impairments from ag are "widespread"only pointed to NMFS recent listings for Coho salmon and draft recovery plans but neither of these documents appear to support such a conclusion and certainly not one which would characterize agricultural activities as presenting concerns of "widespread" impairment. NMFS reports do not specify			Ag-general; General-					
483	3				84-G	specific land use as a culprit for need for rip. buffers. Does not agree with allegation that AWQMA enforcement is weak. Notes that AWQMPs lay out process for which enforcement actions are taken. Any reduction or withdraw of Section 319 funds will only serve to diminish ODA's abilities to	ı	4	salmon;					
484	1				84-H	Refutes claim that AWQMPs are too vague and do not include specific BMP	5 and	5 ag enforcement	Ag- general					
485	5				84-I	requirements. Neither CZARA nor the 6217(g) guidance prescribes the AWQMP's adoption of specific management measures. Disagrees with allegation that AWQMP are only focused on impaired areas.	6	AWQMPs	Ag-general					
486	5				84-J	Actions and WQS developed for impairments can be the goalpost for restoration and protection.		6	Ag-general; general- water quality					
						Disagrees with allegation that AWQMPs are not addressing legacy issues. Nothing within CZARA indicates Congress ever intended that the States consider "legacy" issues nor is there any requirement to address such issues under the 6217(g)								
487	7				84-K	guidance The Ag Water Quality management plans are designed for the prevention and		6 Legacy	Ag-general					
488	3				84-M	control of water pollution from agricultural activities and soil erosion in the affected management area. Further, as EPA stated, "A well developed management program supports activities with the greatest potential to produce early, demonstrable water quality results". Under Section II, Federal Agencies acknowledge that Oregon had previously		3						
489)				84-N	satisfied CZARA with the introduction of its siz Area Plans that address non-point source pollution covering the coastal nonpoint management area		4						
490					84-O	Area Plans do provide for specific measures. By exampleonly, required conditions in the North Coast Basin area in part require as follows: (2)(a) allow the natural and managed regeneration and growth of riparian vegetationtrees shrubs, grasses and sedgesalong natural waterways (as defined in OAR 141085-0010(27) to provide shade to moderate water tempertures and bank stability to maintain erosion near background levels. (b) The technical criteria to determine compliance with OAR 603-095-0840(2)(a) are: (E) Management activities are conducted in a manner so as o maintain streambank integrity through 25-year storm events. OAR 603-095-0840	% -	6						
430					01.0	Disagree with the NOAA/EPA statement that AWQMA planning has focused primarily on impaired areas when the focus should be on both protection and restoration. Suggests that standards that could be used to address an impaired area could just as easily apply to any restoration effort. For example, the excerpt of standards provided above from OAR 603-095-0840 can be said to address an	ı							
491	L				84-P	impaired area while also providing protection and restoration benefits.		6						

А	В	С	D	E	F	G	Н	I	J	K	L	М	N
					We believe that the continued successful implementation of the program must rely on local management experiences, both currently and in the future, which will inform how to craft the most appropriate regulatory standards. This process of								
	Oregon Assoc. of		2/20/14	04.	creating ever improving standards of course will come from the existing adaptive	_	7 4 1	C 1					
492	Nurseries	n	3/20/14	84-L	management, outcome-based approach within each of the Area Plans.	1	Adaptive mgmt	General			1		
493				85-A	Support disapproval	J		Decision General - fails to			1		
494			-	85-B	Concerne with water quality, toxics, deforestation and fisheries health	1		meet wqs/uses					
495				85-C	FPA, Right to Forest and Pesticide Pre-emption laws have led to water quality impairments/poisoning in Rogue/Umpqua.	1		Forestry pesticides	s				
496	4.10				Coastal watersheds are impaired due to state govn't corruption and control by forest and chemical industry. Cites 2 examples of how EPA has gotten involved with two problems in OR (OR Health Authority's Hwy 36 investigation and Curry County airial spraying poisoning)	2		Forestry - pesticides					
497	(b) (6)	citizen	3/20/14	85-E	Supports Beyond Toxics Comments.	2	2	Forestry - pesticides	S				
498		organization		57-B	Program guidance mirrors the statute in requiring theat states demonstrate the use of additional management measures when needed to meet water quality standards and protect beneficial uses.	7	7	General need to consider other issues	s for		1		
499				57-C	The Federal Agencies expect the implementation of both the management measures and additional management measures in a reasonable period of time.	8	3	General need to consider other issues	S				
500				57-D	Oregon has repeatedly submitted a coastal nonpoint program that EPA and NOAA have repeatedly refused to approve, in large part because it did not include adequate regulation of forest practices in the form of additional management measures. Fully agrees with EPA and NOAA findings that Oregon has failed to develop and implement additional management measures for foresty and so has failed to		including excerpts from January 13, 1998, EPA and NOAA, Findings for the Oregon Coastal Nonpoint Program. Excerpts from 2004 interim decision document and 2008 response to Oregon's 2007documents. Citation of September 20, 2006 email to Robert Baumgarnter from Amanda Punton.	Forestry General; Forestry riparian; Forestry landslides; Forestry roads					
501				57-E	implement additional management measures for foresry and so has failed to submit an approvable program under CZARA.	12		Forestry General					

A	В	С	D E	F	G	Н	I	J	К	L	М	N
	<u> </u>			· ·	Ť	OR Forest	•	,		-		. •
						Practices Act,						
				Oregon's voluntary and regulatory forest practices programs do not sufficiently		Revised Statutes						
502			57-F	protect water quality or designated beneficial uses.	12	2 §527.610	Forestry General					
302				process water quantity or assignated sensitival assess		30271010	General water					
							quality; Monitoring					
							improvements					
				Oregon's forest practices program improperly equates compliance with forest			needed; Forestry					
503			57-G	practices regulations with compliance with water quality standards.	13	ORS §527.770	General					
303			37-0	practices regulations with comphanics with water quarty standards.	1.0	Comparisons to	General					
						State of	General water					
				ODEQ has failed to use its authority to override ODF's inadequate forest practices		Washington esp.	quality; Forestry					
504			57-H	in order to bring compliance with water quality standards	12	HCP's	General					
504			37-П	in order to oring compitance with water quanty standards	13		General					
						Declaration of						
						Christopher A.						
						Frissell, Ph.D.	Forestry General;					
						submitted in	Forestry riparian;					
						support of letter	Forestry					
				Failure to protect water quality from impacts due to roads, buffers, and logging on		and incorporated	landslides; Forestry					
505			57-I	steep/unstable slopes	15	by reference	roads					
				Effectiveness of the overall system of riparian management zones in maintaining			General fails to					
				sufficiently low turbidity is diminished at a watershed scale due to inadequate			meet wqs/uses;					
506			57-J	buffers in headwater basins.	17	7	Forestry riparian					
							E					
				Clearcutting riparian areas around streams increases the probability of debris	1.0		Forestry riparian;		20	40	1.5	0.4
507			57-K	flows and sediment delivery to streams due to the accumulation of debris.	18	3	Forestry clear cuts		20	49	15	84
				Riparian buffers in Oregon's rules do not sufficiently prevent the warming of								
				streams that accompanies loss of canopy cover, do not sufficiently filter nutrients								
				and sediment from surface waters draining through riparian buffers, and do not								
508			57-L	protect streams from debris flows and landslides.	20)	Forestry riparian					
							General fails to					
							meet wqs/uses;					
				The science is overwhelming: Oregon's riparian buffer and steep slope loggigng			Forestry riparian;					
509			57-M	rules are insufficient to protect water quality and all designated beneficial uses.	20)	Forestry landslides					
				The construction, use, maintenance, and existence of logging roads detrimentally								
				affects stream health and aquatic habitat by increasing sediment delivery and								
510			57-N	stream turbidity.	20)	Forestry roads					
				Oregon's forest practices rules impose generic BMPs and do not use pertinent					T			
				water quality data to drive road management decisions; in fact they are precisely			General water					
				the kinds of BMPs that have been shown to be inadequate and ineffective at			quality; Forestry					
511			57-O	protecting water quality and beneficial uses.	22	2	roads					

	Δ	В	С	D	F	F	G	Н	ī	ı	К	ı	М	N
5	.2				57-P	Oregon forest practices regulations applicable to forest roads consistently prioritize logging over protection of water quality.		Oregon's rules do not require ODF to disapprove written plans for the construction of logging roads that may result in adverse water quality impacts.	General water quality; Forestry roads	•	· ·	•		.,
	.3				57-Q	Oregon's road location rule does not require operators to eliminate or avoid water quality problems; rather, it simply requires them to minimize risk. EPA and NOAA cannot approve Oregon's CNPCP component for forest roads simply based on rules that require operators to minimize the risk to waters of the state.	23-24	"minimizing risk" is not the same as avoiding adverse water quality impacts	General water quality; Forestry roads					
5	.4				57-R	Oregon's forest road rules are so loaded with vague, ambiguous, precatory, and conditional language that they can afford EPA and NOAA no rational basis for concluding that they ensure protection of water quality and designated beneficial uses in Oregon's coastal areas. EPA and NOAA cannot rely on Oregon's enforcement authority where enforcement most likely only occurs after damage to water quality occurs. OAR	24	"avoid locating roads on steep slopes, slide areas, high landslide hazard locations where viable atlernatives exist" and "make use of existing roads where practical." Who decides what is practical or vialbele and what criteria are used in the analysis?	Forestry landslides; Forestry roads					
5	.5			_	57-S	629-625 rules generally mean that so long as operators are not harming wter quality they are in complance with the rule.	24	1	Forestry General					
5	.6				57-T	Oregon's wet weather road use rule's purpose is "to reduce the delivery of ifine sediment to streams caused by the use of forest roads during wet periods that may adversely affect downstream water quaility in Type F or Type D streams," is designed to reduce delivery of fine sediment, but not esigned to elimate the elivery of fine sediment or to ensure that such delivery does not impair water quality. Oregon road rules lack a requirement to bring existing, inactive logging roads and other forest roads up to a standard that effectiely prevents water quality problems. This resultes in many forest roads which are not currently being used for logging falling through the regulatory cracks and continuing to have a negative impact on	25	5 OAR-625-0700	Forestry roads					
5	17				57-U	water quality.	26	5	Forestry roads					

	А	В	С	D	E	F	G	Н І		J	K	L	М	N
5:	8				57-V	Implementation of BMPs without reference to and monitoring of applicable water quality standards including the protection of designated beneficial uses is simply inadequate to protect Oregon streams.	27	General quality; Mor improver needed; For	nitoring nents restry					
5.	9				57-W	Despite EPA's and NOAA's telling Oregon for over a decade that its forest practices programs are not sufficiently protecting water quality, and despite ample and relevant science demonstrating that clear-cutting and other logging practices in Oregon generate nonpoint source pollution that harms water quality, Oregon substantially increased the amount of clear-cutting allowed in North Coast state forests. EPA and NOAA state that legacy effiects of agriculture (denuded riparian areas, damage to natural stream morphology, eroding streambanks, etc) are not addressed though existing regulatory tools, but have concluded tht agriculture plans are a regulatory mechanism to address past actions that are the primary	28	Current FMP goals allow clear-cutting of roughly an additional 100,000 acres above the goal in the Forestry comparison of the street of the	lear cuts					
52	20				57-X	cause of eroding streambanks.	34		-					
52	1				57-Y	ODA's enforcement authority excludes most of Oregon's agricultural nonpoint source contributions, particularly its contribution to temperature in Oregon's streams from lack of shade and from exces sedimentation.	35	Ag -Genera	ıl; Ag					
52	12				57-Z 57-AA	Oregon has repeatedly relied on the TMDL program to purportedly demonstrate to the federal agencies that it has a plan in place to control nonpoint source pollution in coastal watersheds. EPA cannot rely on these assertions given Oregon's own failure to use the TMDL program to bring nonpoint sources into compliance with load allocations established in the TMDLs. DEQ has issued NPDES permits in the Rogue River Basin on the assumption that nonpoint sources will contribute zero heat load, but made a completely contrary assumption when it allwoed the City of Medford to plant trees on agricultural lands in lieu of directly reducing the thermal load in its discharge. This contrary assumption undermines any suggestion that Oregon relies on the load allocations established for nonpoint sources in its temperature TMDLs to protect riparian vegation sufficient to meet water quality standards.	36	General meet wqs/us	es; Ag ral fails to es; Ag					
5.2	4				57-BB	Approvable state programs are required to assess over time the success of the management measures in reducing pollution loads and improving water quality. Because it has not identified the practices that constitute Oregon's version of meeting management measures, it would be impossible for the state to ascertain whether the managment meaures are in place and whether they have been successful in reducing pollutant loads sufficiently to avoid the need for additional management measures. Oregon water quality standards and designated uses require the implementation of additional management measures. Given that in almost all instances, an allocation to all nonpoint sources for temperature increases is zero, it is even more likely that agricuture is currently contributing to violations of temperature standards and		ODA findings for coastal watersheds (Coos/Coquille, MidCoast, North Coast, Bear Creek, Inland Rogue, consider Umpqua) General meet wqs General consider	other General fails to /uses; need to					
52	25				57-CC	1	39							

А	В	С	D	E	F	G	Н І	J	К	L	М	N
526				57-DD	EPA and NOAA found that the last of the agricultural plans was put in place by ODA in October 2007. The fact that the plans and rules have been in place for such a long time should suggest that Oregon can point to their widespread success in addressing the conditions on agricultural lands that have caused and contributed to violations of water quality standards. In fact, they cannot.		General fail meet wqs/uses; General		K	-	141	
527					ODA's most recent new efforts to address agricultural water quality are inadquate to meet CZARA management measures and additional management measures that are needed. None of the ODA basin rules incorporates additional management measures as needed to meet the zero load allocations established in the existing temperature TMDLs for Oregon coastal watersheds.		ODA's Water Quality Management Program's guidance documents: "Streamside Vegetation Assessment Tool" and "Proposed Tools for Measuring Progress in Small Watersheds: Streamside Vegetation Assessment Compliance Evaluation." General fail meet wqs/use consider oth issues; Ag - Ge	d to er				
528				57-FF	Bear Creek cannot be held up as an example of how Oregon has a program to control agricultural nonpoint source pollution because it is primarily an example of how unique circumstances can pressure nonpoint sources into taking significant action. Absent those circumstances, the actions will not occur.	46	General - volumapproaches; A	·				
529				57-GG	Oregon's management measures for pesticides are not adequate to meet water quality sandards including full support of desingated uses in Oregon and additional management measures are required.	47	General fail meet wqs/use Toxics/Pesticie Forestry pesticides; Ag Pesticides	es; des; g				
530				57-НН	Despite the lack of any additional ODA rules beyond the EPA pesticide labels, which have been demonstrated to be inadequate for protection of threatened coho, EPA and NOAA have not made any findings on the adequacy of Oregon's program to protect water quality and designated uses from pesticides applied to agricultural lands.	49	Toxics/Pesticic Salmon need protection source: State of	more				
531					The federal agencies praise Oregon's Water Quality Pesticide Management Plan, which purportedly uses water monitoring data to drive so-called adaptive management actions, but the state does little monitoring of pesticides with which to make this work and there is no evidence it collects any data in coastal watersheds.		Oregon, Pesticide Management Plan for Water Quality Protection (May Proxics/Pestici	ts				

	Α	В	С	D	E	F	G	Н І	J	К	L	М	N
		-				Oregon ignores many of its standards and data when it develops its 303d lists with	-	-	<u> </u>		_		
						the effect that data are not translated into impaired waters listings with any		General water					
532					57-JJ	regularity.	49	quality					
						Oregon's CNPCP fails to identify land uses and critical coastal areas that will		General water					
						require additional management measures to attain and maintain water quality		quality; General					
						standards because it relies on a flawed Clean Water Act section 303d listing		need to consider					
533					57-KK	process to identify impaired streams.	50	CZARA Program C other issues					
						EPA and NOAA guidance urges states to rely on their 303d list for purposes of							
						CZARA, but the problem with doing so in Oregon is that the DEQ has, for many		General water					
						years, failed to meet the requirements set out in federal regulations to "assemble		quality; General					
						and evaluate all existing and readily available water quality related data and		need to consider					
534					57-LL	information to develop the list."	52	other issues					
								General water					
								quality; General					
						DEQ does not use its nonpoint source assessments to develop its 303d lists,		need to consider					
535					57-MM	contrary to EPA listing guidance and EPA/NOAA CZARA guidance.	52	other issues					
								General fails to					
						Oregon fails to identify land uses causing or threatening water quality		meet wqs/uses;					
						impairments by ignoring a wide variety of technical information available to		E.g., ESA-listed General Salmon;					
						identify land uses that consistently cause or contribute to violations of water		coho and their General need to					
536					57-NN	quality standards in coastal watersheds and harm designated uses.	53	habitat. consider other issues					
						Oregon does not use TMDLs to identify critical coastal areas as required for		General need to					
537					57-OO	approval programs under CZARA.	58	consider other issues					
								General fails to					
								meet wqs/uses;					
						Oregon's TMDL program changes numeric criteria for temperature bypassing		General salmon;					
						section 303c federal approval and producing criteria in excess of safe levels for		General need to					
538		_			57-PP	cold-water species.	59	consider other issues					
						Oracon's TMDI macrom fails to moult in the second in the second in the second s		General fails to					
						Oregon's TMDL program fails to result in changes to nonpoint source controls sufficient to meet load allocations established in TMDLs and necessary to meet		meet wqs/uses; General need to					
F30					57.00	·	61						
539		-		-	37-QQ	water quality standards.	01	40 CFR § 130.2 (i) consider other issues					
								General fails to					
						Most Oregon coastal watershed TMDLs establish load allocations for nonpoint		meet wqs/uses;					
						sources but their associated water quality management plans fail to support an		General need to					
540					57-RR	effective coastal nonpoint source pollution control program	62						
2.0				=		Program Program	52	General fails to					
								meet wqs/uses;					
						Despite nearly all of the TMDLs for temperature in Oregon's coastal watersheds'		General need to					
						having established a load allocation of zero heat increase for nonpoint sources, the		consider other					
						load allocations have not been used to determine minimum riparian buffer width,		issues; Forestry					
541					57-SS		69						
241				1	27-00	mergin, and denote to define to the road anocations.	0)	прапап					

	А	В	С	D	Е	F	G	Н	I	J	K	L	М	N
542					57-TT	Oregon TMDLs fail to evaluate whether CZARA management measures are sufficient to meet load allocations for nonpoint sources and fail to establish additional management measures needed to meet load allocations for nonpoint sources.	70)	General fails to meet wqs/uses; General need to consider other issues					
543					57-UU	Oregon fails to systematically address violations of water quality standards caused by excess sedimentation.		"Methodology for Oregon's 2012 Water Quality Report and List of Water Quality Limited Waters."Oregon	General fails to meet wqs/uses; General need to consider other issues					
544					57-VV	The current status of listed aquatic species in Oregon, and Oregon's failure to make a dent in recovery efforts for those species, demonstrate that Oregon's water quality protection programs are inadequate and not meeting CZARA standards. EPA and NOAA have violated the law by failing to withhold CWA and CZMA grant money from Oregon since 1998. EPA's and NOAA's "conditional approval"	81	L	General fails to meet wqs/uses; General Salmon; General need to consider other issues					
545					57-WW	of Oregon's CNPCP contravenes CZARA and cannot be maintained.	81	1 16 USCA § 1455 b	General					
546		NWEA		3/20/14	57-WW	EPA and NOAA have violated the law by failing to withhold CWA and CZMA grant money from Oregon since 1998. EPA's and NOAA's "conditional approval" of Oregon's CNPCP contravenes CZARA and cannot be maintained.	81	1 16 USCA § 1455 b	С					